

## **HERTFORDSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL**

MINUTES of a meeting of the County Council held at County Hall, Hertford, on Tuesday 28 November 2000.

### **MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE**

N E Agar	D J Drake	T G M Kent	E A Rafferty
T I Ambrose	J M Dwerryhouse-Spears	D L Kerr	D Royall
J E Anderson	R J M Ellis	A Kilkerr	R Sanderson
D A Ashley	K F Emsall	I H Laidlaw-Dickson	A M R Searing
M V Bayes	B C Engel	B J Lamb	R H Smith
D Beatty	F J Escott	A Lee	R J Smith
J D Beesley	G L Francis	N Lindop	W A Storey
N K Brook	G D Game	D B Lloyd	I E M Tarry
H A Burningham (Chairman)	J Gipps	D E Lloyd	J Taunton
G Burrow	P V Goggins	R Mays	R A G Thomas
F Button	A K Gray	J T Metcalf	M L Tomlinson
P T J Channell	M Green	R Mills	J W A Usher
A R Choudhrey	B P Hall	S J Mills	P A Webb
G R Churchard	B N W Hammond	M V Moore	G F Wenham
R A Clark	N A D Haslam	J R Morton	C J White
F W Clayton	D W Hills	M H O'Neill	M Wilson
K J Coleman	J A Hobday	J M Pitman	B Wing
M D Colne	M J Hobday	J E Price	B A York
R N Copping	M H T Janes	G A G Pulman	

Upon consideration of the agenda for the meeting of the County Council held on 28 November 2000, as circulated, action was taken or decisions were reached as follows:-

#### **1. MINUTES**

The minutes of the Annual Meeting of the Council held on 16 May 2000 were confirmed.

#### **2. CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS**

##### **2.1 Deaths of Former Members**

The Chairman reported the deaths of the following former members of the Council:-

Ken Hill who had been a member from 1973 to 1985 representing St Albans North, then St Albans East, and who was Chairman of the Planning Committee for 8 years.

Dorothy Palmer who had represented Ware South from 1989 to 1993

Bob Perrett who had represented Hertford St Andrews from 1985 to 1993.

David Waddington who had represented South Oxhey from 1981 to 1993.

The Council stood for a few moments in their memory.

## **2.2 Hatfield Rail Crash / Floods / Fuel Crisis**

The Chairman drew attention to the part the County Council had to play in dealing with three recent emergency situations.

First, the fuel crisis in September 2000, where a range of emergency measures and contingencies had to be put in place.

Second, the tragedy of the Hatfield Rail Crash on Tuesday 10 October 2000, where a wide range of County services were involved in dealing with the incident and its aftermath.

And finally, the flooding in November 2000 which had required a number of County Council services to respond to the situation.

The Chairman paid tribute to the Hertfordshire Emergency Services and all County Council staff who were involved in dealing so decisively and effectively with these incidents, along with all the other local authorities and agencies with whom the County Council had worked so well.

The Chairman then determined that the following motion in the name of R Mays be dealt with on the day under Standing Order 8(7):-

- (a) Whereupon **R Mays** proposed the following motion, and this was seconded:-

*“That the Council thanks the Hertfordshire Emergency Services, and all other staff of the County Council who were involved, for their contributions in responding speedily and effectively to the tragedy of the Hatfield rail crash and also the widespread flooding which has recently affected the county.”*

- (b) The following amendment, proposed by **R J M Ellis**, and duly seconded was CARRIED:-

*“Delete ‘and’ in the second line, add a comma after Council, and insert ‘The Hertfordshire Constabulary and other police forces and the staff of Welwyn Hatfield Council and all other agencies’.”*

- (c) The substantive motion was then CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY as follows:-

*“That the Council thanks the Hertfordshire Emergency Services, all other staff of the County Council, the Hertfordshire Constabulary and other police forces and the staff of Welwyn Hatfield Council and all other agencies who were involved, for their contributions in responding speedily and effectively to the tragedy of the Hatfield rail crash and also the widespread flooding which has recently affected the county.”*

### **2.3 Standard Spending Assessment for 2001/2002**

The Chairman then allowed D Beatty, Executive Member for Resources, to make the following statement following a government announcement the previous day:-

*“The government announced the provisional SSA figures yesterday.*

*For Hertfordshire these have fully recognised all data changes including the Area Cost Adjustment (ACA) which had been under threat. Thanks are due to all those in all parties who helped us to win the battle for the ACA once again.*

*As we understand it, our SSA, including assumed Council Tax increase, has increased by £47.2m (or 6.2%).*

*The Finance Director will circulate a note of the key figures in pigeon holes, hopefully by the rising of the Council.*

*Some uncertainty does remain for us because the provisional settlement is consulting on two options for distributing Rate Support Grant. One of these means that about £1m of Hertfordshire County Council's grant would be distributed to other councils. This would mean the Council Tax increasing by 0.4% more than would otherwise be necessary, or expenditure cuts of £1m. We will of course fight any such move."*

### **3. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDER 7(1)**

#### **3.1 B A York** asked the Leader of the Council (R J M Ellis):-

*"What plans has the County Council to promote, and participate in, the first annual 'Holocaust Memorial Day' on 27 January 2001?"*

**R J M Ellis** replied:

"Hertfordshire County Council will be supporting the first Holocaust Memorial Day and welcomes the collective opportunity for local people to remember the past and learn for the future.

In order to support the memorial, I am proposing that the Council agrees to promote the Holocaust Memorial Day through local publicity opportunities; organise and display relevant library materials in order to raise awareness and understanding of genocide as a continuing issue for all humanity; encourage schools to access resource materials in order that young people can reflect on the atrocities of the past and the lessons for the future; and consult with local voluntary organisations to discuss opportunities for joint commemorative events."

The Chairman then declared the following motion to be an item of urgent business under Standing Order 6(3)(c) in order to enable the County Council to make preparations for the Holocaust Memorial Day:-

- (a) The following motion was then proposed by **R J M Ellis**, duly seconded and CARRIED:-

*“Hertfordshire County Council supports the first Holocaust Memorial Day and welcomes the collective opportunity for local people to remember the past and learn for the future.*

*In order to support the memorial, the Council resolves to:*

- (a) *promote the Holocaust Memorial Day through local publicity opportunities;*
- (b) *organise and display relevant library materials in order to raise awareness and understanding of genocide as a continuing issue for all humanity;*
- (c) *encourage schools to access resource materials in order that young people can reflect on the atrocities of the past and the lessons for the future;*
- (d) *consult with local voluntary organisations to discuss opportunities for joint commemorative events.”*

**3.2 B A York** asked the Leader of the Council (R J M Ellis):-

*“Given the decision of the Policy Committee, nearly a year ago, that the County Council remains a member of the Assembly of European Regions, would he outline briefly how, since that time, the Conservative members he appointed to the AER Committees have continued to promote actively the European work and reputation of Hertfordshire County Council in the areas of Education and Environment originally pioneered by former members Colonel Jack Fielder and David Moss?”*

**R J M Ellis** replied:-

“The decision was that we remain in the AER and this we have done. We were not charged to appoint to Committees to attend meetings in places as far off as Odessa, though we know such jollies have been enjoyed by Mr York.

The world has moved on since Jack Fielder and David Moss were prominent on these Committees as, I believe, they would acknowledge themselves. Much of what the AER used to do is now covered by the Committee of the Regions which, unlike the AER, the European Commission is now bound to consult in framing legislation affecting local government functions.

In the recent past, Mr York knows our officers did not feel the resources in Officer time to support his taking a prominent position on an AER Committee could be justified in today's world.

Michael Janes in fact did attend the 2 October meeting of the AER UK Delegation in London to be briefed by the Secretary General on the 7-8 December General Assembly in Finland. Sadly Hertfordshire was one of only 6 local authorities represented by elected Members. He has the minutes and will be able to share their contents with anyone interested.

They record that only 15 authorities in the UK remain in membership and we know further defections are in train reflecting growing dissatisfaction with the performance of the AER in response to the changed structures of the European Union.

I cannot resist the temptation to say 'I told you so'."

**B A York** then asked the following supplementary question:-

*"On the basis that a year ago we had a clear decision by this Council's Policy Committee to remain a member of the AER, does the Leader of the Council acknowledge that he has in fact undermined the position of HCC within Europe, ignored the request of the European President that local government must be central to European governance and most of all has he not shown complete contempt for the Council in ignoring its decision of a year ago?"*

**R J M Ellis** replied:-

"Yes we had a clear decision; no, no and no."

- 3.3** Immediately prior to the next question, Joanna McIntosh from Watford presented a petition and addressed the Council on behalf of the Cable Action Group of Oxhey who were opposed to plans for high voltage cables to be laid through the village.

The petition stood referred to the Director of Environment for consideration and report to the local member(s) and Group Spokesmen.

Following the presentation of the petition, **G Burrow** asked the Executive Member for Environment (I E M Tarry):-

*“County Councillor Tarry as the Executive Member for Environment is well aware of the current controversy relating to the proposed works by National Grid in the Oxhey area of my division. The works are to provide additional power to enable the upgrading of the West Coast Main Line.*

*Whilst no one would want the works required outside their own property could she comment on the likely implications of the two routes currently proposed, being either A411 London Road, Villiers Road and Capel Road or Lower Paddock Road and Capel Road?”*

**I E M Tarry** replied:-

“I am very well acquainted with the controversy regarding this new power cable and I have personally been involved in discussions with Geoff O’Connell, the Chairman of Watford Borough Council’s Highways Committee and National Grid in an attempt to find the least disruptive option for routing the cable.

Whatever route is finally taken by National Grid – there will be disruption. The route along Lower Paddock and Capel Roads will directly affect a number of residents in these relatively narrow streets during the four month works programme. The other potential route you mention, which I understand has recently been proposed by the residents of Lower Paddock Road, not only continues to affect Capel Road but also would transfer disruption to Villiers Road and residents along London Road.

Additionally, in the latter case this principal traffic route into Watford carries some 13,000 vehicles a day and is a major public transport route. The effect of a ‘one way’ closure on this route would therefore not only affect residents and businesses along the route but also have profound effects upon drivers on already congested routes across the south of Watford area, South Oxhey, Bushey and further afield.

Numerous other alternative routes have also been carefully examined but all present insurmountable technical difficulties or even greater disturbance for residents.

My most recent meeting with National Grid, however, gave me some small encouragement that they may be able to find a less disruptive form of working. This new process could ease some of the disturbance to the route through the residential area but unfortunately would not ease any of the transport problems that would be caused by the partial closure of the A411 London Road route.

I understand that National Grid are proposing to hold a further round of meetings with local residents in Lower Paddock and Capel Roads, as this remains their preferred route for the cables.”

**3.4 M J Hobday** asked the Leader of the Council (R J M Ellis):-

*“Will you arrange for the publication on the County Council website of members’ declarations of interests?”*

**R J M Ellis** replied:-

“The Labour opposition appears to be developing a house style: with the county awash with floods, the railway system in disarray, the NHS poised for another winter of chaos and no sure end to the fuel protests, the Opposition Leader whines about my car parking space and Councillor Hobday is preoccupied with websiting members’ interests. Will we have to rename question time ‘Trivial Pursuits’?

As members of the public can already access members’ declarations of interests in person I, personally, can see no reason for not making the information more widely available. However, bearing in mind that there will be a new County Council in May, I consider it better that it takes the decision on a web-site declaration.”

**M J Hobday** then asked the following supplementary question:-

*“May I invite Mr Ellis to inspect the Labour Group’s website which will publish all the information that he wants locked away at County Hall?”*

**R J M Ellis** replied:-

“I have no intention of locking this away. Anyone can gain access to this information by asking for it.”

**3.5 M J Hobday** asked the Executive Member for Environment (I E M Tarry):-

*“What consideration has she given to repairing the road surface at Parkfields, Welwyn Garden City?”*

**I E M Tarry** replied:-

“I am surprised that Mr Hobday should raise this question at full County Council so soon after raising it for the first time with (letter dated 10 November), and before getting a reply from, officers of our current District Council agents for the area.

Nevertheless let me try to help - Parkfields is an unclassified residential street in the middle of Welwyn Garden City which serves a number of residential properties and as such is similar to a large number of streets across the county.

This particular classification of road, following the recommendations of the Best Value Review Panel, has a low priority for refurbishment. Priority is currently being given to major structural repairs on our principal road network.

Nevertheless, officers carry out a regular visual inspection programme of all roads in order to identify safety defects. My understanding is that this particular road is of a concrete construction which has in the past been surface dressed, as a result it has a number of blemishes but is perfectly serviceable and would not warrant major works at this stage.”

**4. QUESTION TO HERTFORDSHIRE POLICE AUTHORITY**

**D J Drake** asked the Vice-Chairman of the Hertfordshire Police Authority (I H Laidlaw-Dickson):-

*“One of the objectives of the Policing Plan is to increase the satisfaction of the community in the service they receive. There has been much dissatisfaction locally regarding the response of Hertfordshire Police to travellers, due to their inability to move them quickly away from areas where they have forced an entry, and are illegally camping.*

*It surely does not require a court order to get the police to react every time these unauthorised visits occur. The delay in taking any action causes much public anger and concern, there are huge costs for clearing up the mess that is left behind, many local people are conned into giving them work, and communities suffer.*

*What measures can the police take, or what additional powers are needed to deal speedily with these unauthorised camps? I believe that police services in other counties are able to effect a much more positive and quick response.”*

The Vice-Chairman of the Police Authority replied:-

“At the Police Authority meeting on 10 November we had an agenda item under which members reported back on issues which had been raised at public and partnership meetings in their area.

In 4 out of 10 divisions, the issue of unlawful encampments by travellers was specifically raised. The Chief Constable indicated that the Hertfordshire Constabulary will now be making a quicker and more robust response to cases of unacceptable behaviour.

This was reinforced by a press release. Copies are available on request.

It is, of course, not just an issue for the police and it is, of course, not possible to promise an immediate end to the problem. This is an issue the police need to address with Councils and other partners. The police are looking to councils in particular to develop protocols in line with the recent guidance from government. I hope members will find this reply encouraging.”

**D J Drake** then asked the following supplementary question:-

*“Why does the Chief Constable need a new policy when the necessary powers to take action on illegal encampments are given to the police by the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994?”*

The Vice-Chairman of the Hertfordshire Police Authority undertook to provide a written reply within 7 days.

## 5. PUBLIC QUESTIONS

Jane Nash from West Cheshunt asked the Executive Member for Environment (I E M Tarry) the following questions and **I E M Tarry** replied as indicated:-

- 5.1 *“What are the County Council’s views on using green belt land for development, when it is to the detriment of the community and when alternatives exist?”*

**I E M Tarry** replied:

“The County Council is committed to minimising the amount of development taking place on greenfields in the county. As the basis for a revised Structure Plan we have therefore embarked on a comprehensive study of urban development potential and have initiated the Town Renaissance Campaign, with the aim of improving the quality of life in our urban areas.

Whilst doing this, the County Council also has a responsibility to meet the genuine needs of the community for the provision of key services, and we recognise that practical alternatives do not always exist for their provision within every urban area. These twin roles can only be reconciled following the most careful study of all options at a local level.”

- 5.2 *“Does the County Council agree with the Herts and Middlesex Wildlife Trust, that people should have access to a green open space (parkland) within 280 metres of where they live?”*

**I E M Tarry** replied:

“Open spaces are an important part of the make-up of all our towns and contribute significantly to the quality of life of residents. Their important role is recognised in the current Structure Plan policy (46) on Open Spaces, which seeks to encourage the District Local Plans to have an open space strategy for each settlement.

The Herts and Middlesex Wildlife Trust vision is one which is reflected in the County Biodiversity Action Plan and is a useful aspiration. However, the exact locations and form of open space must be the subject for each community to decide through its local planning process.”

- 5.3 *“What action is the County Council taking in partnership with schools, to tackle the problems of road congestion, in particular during the school run? Is the County Council aware of the RAC’s Grass Routes Challenge, which aims to develop school travel plans and help reduce the risk of accidents? Also that Schools are being offered the chance of winning £4,000 to implement their own travel plans?”*

**I E M Tarry** replied:

“The TravelWise campaign, started in Hertfordshire and now adopted nationally, has led to a number of highly successful initiatives, including the development of our ‘LEARN’ programme – the ‘Local Education Area Route Network’, under which we have secured funding for Safe Routes to School projects.

With our officers’ help, schools in each part of the county are now bringing together teachers, governors, parents and pupils to discuss local needs and options for action. These can include traffic calming, road markings, cycle facilities and, of course, the now world famous ‘Walking Bus’ schemes.

We have put together a ‘model’ School Travel Plan which is being offered to all schools, together with advice on how to pull it together.

As a result, not only are we aware of the RAC’s Green Routes Challenge, but our officers were actually involved in advising on its preparation. You can therefore be assured that they are actively promoting its use to schools.

We are justifiably proud that Hertfordshire has taken the lead in all these matters and intend to ensure that this programme of initiatives continues to be rolled out through the county.”

- 5.4 *“Is there going to be an increase in funding, to provide extra buses for the local service in Cheshunt during peak times? This service is already overstretched and can only get worse due to the planned expansion of the 4 secondary schools: Turnford, Goffs, Cheshunt and St Mary’s.”*

**I E M Tarry** replied:

“There are many pressures on the funding of local buses at present, particularly as commercial operators continue to withdraw their commercial services. However, I can confirm that when the proposals to expand or relocate schools in Cheshunt go ahead, members will want to ensure that the transport effects of those decisions have been fully taken into account, as they have been this year as part of the Borehamwood schools reorganisation.”

- 5.5 *“Are there any plans to address the problems of flooding at the junction of Park Lane and Brookfield Lane West, Cheshunt? There are concerns that if this problem is not addressed and further development were to take place within the vicinity things will only get worse.”*

**I E M Tarry** replied:-

“Park Lane meets Longfield Lane just north of the mini-roundabout junction of Longfield Lane/Flamstead End Road/Brookfield Lane.

I am assured that, even during the recent periods of exceptionally heavy rain, no significant flooding problems were reported at either junction. The only drainage issue comes from ponding due to gullies not being located precisely at the channel low points. I am told that this affects both junctions.

However, if at any time in the future, a new junction were to be provided at this point, then a revised carriageway alignment would be needed and designed accordingly.”

## **6. PUBLIC PETITIONS**

See Minute 3.3 above.

## **7. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES**

- 7.1 **Report of the Policy Committee - 20 July 2000**  
(presented by **R J M Ellis**, Chairman of the Committee)

The Report of the Policy Committee dated 20 July 2000 was received and adopted.

- 7.2 **Report of the Education Committee - 24 May 2000**  
(presented by **K F Emsall**, Chairman of the Committee)

The Report of the Education Committee dated 24 May 2000 was received and adopted.

- 7.3 **Report of the Environment Committee - 23 May 2000**  
(presented by **J M Pitman**, Chairman of the Committee)

**Item 2 - A Prospectus for Hertfordshire’s Rail Network:  
Results of Consultation**

- (a) The following motion was proposed by **B A York**, and duly seconded:-

*“That the County Council notes the contrast between the vision of excellent rail services outlined in the Prospectus and the appalling chaos and derisory train services currently being offered in the county (and country) as a result of the Conservative privatisation and fragmentation of the rail industry.”*

- (b) The following amendment proposed by **C J White**, and duly seconded, was LOST:-

*“Add at end of the motion:*

*‘... and the failure of the Labour Government to rectify matters’.*”

- (c) The following amendment, proposed by **J M Pitman**, and duly seconded, was CARRIED:-

*“Delete all words after ‘(and country)’ and substitute ‘following three years of a Labour Government’.*”

- (d) The substantive motion was then CARRIED as follows:-

*“That the County Council notes the contrast between the vision of excellent rail services outlined in the Prospectus and the appalling chaos and derisory train services currently being offered in the county (and country) following three years of a Labour Government.”*

- 7.3.1 The Report of the Environment Committee dated 23 May 2000 was received and adopted.

7.4 **Report of the Environment Committee - 11 July 2000**  
(presented by **J M Pitman**, Chairman of the Committee)

The Report of the Environment Committee dated 11 July 2000 was received and adopted.

7.5 **Report of the Social Services Committee - 6 July 2000**  
(presented by **J E Price**, Chairman of the Committee)

The Report of the Social Services Committee dated 6 July 2000 was received and adopted.

7.6 **Report of the Information & Access Committee - 18 July 2000**

(presented by **D A Ashley**, Chairman of the Committee)

The Report of the Information & Access Committee dated 18 July 2000 was received and adopted.

7.7 **Report of the Improvement & Review Committee - 13 July 2000**  
(presented by **I E M Tarry**, Chairman of the Committee)

The Report of the Improvement & Review Committee dated 13 July 2000 was received and adopted.

7.8 **Report of the Protection Committee - 14 July 2000**  
(presented by **D B Lloyd**, Chairman of the Committee)

The Report of the Protection Committee dated 14 July 2000 was received and adopted.

7.9 **Report of the Community Information & Protection Select Committee - 11 October 2000**  
(presented by **J A Hobday**, Chairman of the Select Committee)

The Report of the Community Information & Protection Select Committee dated 11 October 2000 was received and adopted.

7.10 **Report of the Adult Care Services Select Committee - 12 October 2000**  
(presented by **K J Coleman**, Chairman of the Select Committee)

The Report of the Adult Care Services Select Committee dated 12 October 2000 was received and adopted.

## **8. NEW POLITICAL STRUCTURES**

- (a) The following motions were proposed by **R J M Ellis**, and duly seconded:-

*“That:*

1. *the changes to member processes set out in paragraph 4.1 and Appendix 1 of the report be adopted, subject to the deletion of the words “and Chief Officers” in line 2 of the proposed new Standing Order SC8(4) as set out in Appendix 1*
2. *the changes to the Scheme of Delegation to Officers set out in paragraph 4.3 of the report be approved*

3. *the County Secretary be authorised to amend the Constitution to reflect the decisions at 1 and 2 above*
4. *the New Member Structures Panel report to the Council on 15 February 2001 with*
  - (a) *draft proposals for executive arrangements which take account of the results of public consultation and the requirements of the Local Government Act 2000; and*
  - (b) *a suggested timetable for implementation*
5. *the Chief Executive appoint, in consultation with the Panel, an independent panel to advise the Council on the Scheme of Members Allowances for 2001/2 and that consideration be given to appointing the Panel who reviewed Essex County Council's Allowances Scheme; the independent panel's report to be considered by the Council on 15 February 2001."*

- (b) The following amendment proposed by **R Mays**, and duly seconded, was ACCEPTED by the proposer and seconder of the motion:-

*"In Appendix 1(d) add:*

- (i) *'of the Select Committee or of the Council' after 'members' in line 2*
- (ii) *at the end:*

*'Notwithstanding the requirements of this Standing Order, the Chairman may accept questions without notice if satisfied that they are urgent; such questions shall not count towards the allocated time limit of 10 minutes and shall be answered orally at the meeting or in writing within 7 days'."*

- (c) The motions at (a) as amended by (b) were then ADOPTED.

- (d) The following motion proposed by **R Mays**, and duly seconded, was LOST:-

*“That in Part II Section 2 of the Constitution (“The Cabinet”) paragraph 7 be amended to read:*

*‘Meetings of the Cabinet are open to all members of the Council, the public and the press; but the public and press may be excluded for consideration of items which qualify as exempt items under the Local Government Act 1972. Agendas, reports and minutes of the Cabinet are also available to the public and press, subject to the same qualification. Any member in attendance is required to observe the rules of declaration of interest set out in the Local Government Act 1972 and the National Code of Conduct. Otherwise, the Cabinet shall determine its own procedures’.*”

- (e) The following motion proposed by **R Mays**, and duly seconded, was CARRIED:-

*“That in Appendix C Section B of the Constitution (“Standing Orders for Select Committees”) Standing Order SC5(1) be amended to read:*

*‘Committee agendas shall consist of matters:-*

- (a) referred by the Cabinet*
- (b) referred from the Council*
- (c) which the Select Committee itself wishes to scrutinise.*

*Under (c) any member of the Select Committee may require items to appear, but Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen shall ensure that agendas are properly organised’.*”

- (f) The following motion proposed by **R Mays**, and duly seconded, was CARRIED:-

*“That the adopted motions (a)1 (as amended), (a)2 and (e) take immediate effect.”*

**9. NOTICES OF MOTION**

- 9.1** The Chairman determined that, in order to promote the Council's interests, the following motion be dealt with on the day under Standing Order 8(7):-

Whereupon **R Mills** proposed, and this was duly seconded:-

Dial-a-Ride Service

*“That in implementing the recommendations for the Best Value Review of Transport Operations, the County Council*

- (i) does not consider the introduction of a registration fee for users of the Dial-a-Ride service; and*
- (ii) refers any proposals to alter the current eligibility criteria for the services to the Eligibility Panel of the Adult Care Services Select Committee for consideration.”*

The motion was LOST.

- 9.2** **R Mays'** motion dealt with previously under minute 2.2

**ANDREW LAYCOCK**  
**County Secretary**