

**BUDGET MONITOR TO 31<sup>ST</sup> AUGUST 2004**

**Report of the Finance Director**

Author: Andrew Nightingale, Assistant Finance Director (Tel: 01992 555331)

Executive Member: David Beatty

**1. Purpose of Report**

To enable the Committee to identify if there are any issues arising from the budget monitor that they wish to scrutinise.

**2. Background**

2.1 Within each service, each area of the budget is allocated to a named manager who has responsibility for ensuring that services are delivered within the available funds. At the end of each month from May onwards reports are prepared that set out any changes in the budget, predicted variances, virement and carry forward proposals and any other items of budgetary concern.

2.2 Services send their reports to group spokesmen and to corporate finance who produce periodic summary reports that are considered by Cabinet.

2.3 At their meeting on 12 May the Scrutiny Co-ordination Panel resolved:  
*"That the regular Budget Monitor and 'Traffic Light' Monitor reports be included on the agenda of Scrutiny Committees on the basis that the reports are for noting not for discussion and to enable the Committees to identify issues for scrutiny."*

2.4 A summary of the corporate report to the end of August 2004 is contained in pages 2 to 14 of this report.

**3. Access to Service Implications**

There are no direct access to service implications arising out of this report.

**4. Conclusions**

Members are asked to decide if there are any items arising out of the budget monitor report that they wish to consider for future scrutiny.

## Briefing Note Monthly Budget Monitor to 31<sup>st</sup> August 2004

### 1. Revenue Monitor

The attached report shows the projected revenue outturn position as at 31<sup>st</sup> August 2004 as follows:

Net overspend	£2,164m
Estimated final outturn	£1,021,154m

This is an increase (before carry forward requests) of £219,000 from the forecast outturn overspend of £1.945m reported in the July 2004 monitor.

As at August, within the latest approved budget the special provision of £5.3m and the balance of the pay and price contingency of £3.091m remain unapplied.

Whilst the most immediate financial requirements have been met by SAP since its launch in April, issues have still to be resolved with regard to comprehensive reporting and ordering. This means that the budget monitoring process followed has been less wide-ranging than usual and confined to the areas of greatest volatility.

### 2. Capital monitor

The projected underspend of £0.602m which was reported in the July 2004 monitor, has changed by £2.479m as a result of project slippage, to a projected underspend of £3.081m.

Consolidated monthly budget monitor report

Details 31<sup>st</sup> August 2004  
as at

1. Revenue Budget		£'000	
Original budget		1,016,680	
Latest Approved Budget		1,018,990	
Forecast outturn at 31st August 2004		1,021,254	
<b>Variance- overspend/(underspend)</b>		<b>2,164</b>	
<b>Change from previous monitor</b>		<b>219</b>	
<i>Previous monitor</i>	<i>31st July 2004</i>		
Forecast outturn from previous monitor		1,020,935	
Variance- overspend/(underspend)		23	
<b>Details of variances (previous monitor variances in italics)</b>		<b>@31/08/04</b>	<b>@31/07/04</b>
Adult Care Services		300	0
CSF Schools		(289)	
CSF Education Non – Schools		1,093	2,135
CSF Children's Services		1,250	
Libraries, Arts, Hals & Trading Standards		(30)	(30)
Fire & Rescue		40	40
Registration		0	0
Crime Reduction Unit		(420)	0
Coroners		0	0
Emergency Planning		0	0
Information Technology		(200)	(200)
Environment		400	0
Corporate Services		0	0
Central Services		0	0
Precepts		20	0
<b>Net variance</b>		<b>2,164</b>	<b>1,945</b>
<b>Change from previous monitor</b>			
<b>Reasons for change</b>			
<b>ACS</b>	Physical Disability Residential and Nursing Care Homes	200	1.1
	EPD Staffing and Specialist Teams	200	1.2
	Strategic Centre and Support Services	(300)	1.3
	Learning Disability Residential and Nursing Care Homes	200	1.4
<b>CSF Schools</b>	Units and Bases	(250)	1.9
<b>CSF Education Non – Schools</b>	SEN Home to School Transport	233	1.5
	Local Services Staffing and Staff Related	52	1.6
	Local Services Central	(58)	1.11

Change from previous monitor [continued]

<b>CSF Children's Services</b>	Local Services Staffing and Staff Related	120	<b>1.6</b>
	Children with Disabilities Respite and Shared Care	107	<b>1.7</b>
	Adoption Allowances and Residence Orders	100	<b>1.8</b>
	Local Services Central	(134)	<b>1.11</b>
	Family Placement Teams	(110)	<b>1.12</b>
	Section 17 Preventative Care	(80)	<b>1.13</b>
	Family Centres	(61)	<b>1.14</b>
<b>Crime Reduction Unit</b>	PCSO Recruitment	(420)	<b>1.15</b>
<b>Environment</b>	Routine Maintenance – New Traffic Signals Contract	100	<b>1.16</b>
	Road Lighting – New Energy Contract	300	<b>1.17</b>
<b>Precepts</b>	Lee Valley Regional Park Precepts	20	<b>1.18</b>
	<b>Total change from previous monitor</b>	<b>219</b>	

1. **Material Changes (over £75,000) to Revenue Variances August 2004**

**Adult Care Services**

1.1 **Physical Disability residential and nursing care homes - £200,000 or 2.5% overspend**

Based on the clients that are being funded currently and clients for whom placements have been agreed but who haven't been placed yet, this budget is projected to overspend by £130,000. By the year-end and following the placement of more clients (above turnover), this budget is forecast to overspend by £200,000 (2.5%).

In addition to more clients being funded at the year-end compared with the start of the year, the main reason for the projected overspend is that the average cost per client has increased and is greater than was estimated.

Growth of £386,000 for new clients (net of turnover) was included in the 2004/05 budget of £8.146m. A balanced budget was reported last month.

## **1.2 EPD Staffing and Specialist Teams - £200,000 or 1.3% overspend**

This budget is projected to overspend currently by £200,000 which is 1.3% on a budget of £15.221m. In some previous years the projected outturn has reduced from predictions made earlier in the year since certain posts have been more difficult to fill than was envisaged. The £200,000 variance currently being reported may reduce by the year end, however, at this stage in the financial year it is felt appropriate to report a projected overspend of £200,000.

There are two main reasons for this projected overspend –

i). Reducing the waiting lists within the EPD Areas. There are almost 600 people currently awaiting assessment (a reduction of over 500 since the start of the financial year) and this waiting list will be cleared by 1 December 2004.

ii). As part of the EPD staffing review process, trial Locality teams have been established recently in South East Herts and Dacorum in advance of the new structure being in place on 1 April 2005. Also a Service Solutions team has been created in North Herts and Stevenage. It has been necessary to transfer staff to set up these three trial teams from existing Area teams. The projected overspend assumes that it may be necessary to backfill some of the vacancies that have been created to meet certain government targets, including people awaiting assessments.

A balanced budget was reported last month.

## **1.3 Strategic Centre and Support Services - £300,000 or 0.3% underspend**

This budget is projected to underspend by £300,000; mainly as a result of staff vacancies within various support services sections and delays in starting some new projects. This equates to an underspend of 0.3% on a gross budget of over £100m.

A balanced budget was reported last month.

## **1.4 Learning Disability Residential and Nursing Care Homes - £200,000 or 0.8% overspend**

Assuming that outstanding annual increases for 2004/05 are settled at an average of around 4% and based on clients funded currently, this budget is projected to be in balance at the year-end. However, when allowance is made for clients for whom placements have been agreed but who haven't been placed yet and for further clients not yet identified as requiring residential care, this budget is forecast to overspend by £200,000 (0.8%).

By the year-end it is estimated that more clients will have been placed than was assumed in setting the 2004/05 budget and the average cost per client will be greater than was estimated.

Growth of £459,000 for new clients (net of turnover) was included in the 2004/05 budget of £25.173m. A balanced budget was reported last month.

## **Children Schools and Families**

### **1.5 SEN Home to School Transport - £233,000 or 2.1% increase in overspend**

This projected position reflects the current PTU estimates. The increase is as a result of 20 new contracts and changes to over 30 others. However, this position assumes no savings from the re-tender round in September or any efficiency savings from the Integrated Transport Review.

### **1.6 Local Services Staffing and Staff Related - £172,000 or 0.63% increase in overspend**

There has been increasing pressure on this budget in relation to expenditure related to the appointment of staff. These costs are reflective of the general pressures on recruitment and retention of staff, including advertising and leased car costs. We are currently reviewing all of these related budgets to determine how we can accommodate this expenditure within the current budget.

### **1.7 Children with Disabilities Respite Care and Shared Care - £107,000 or 6.5% overspend**

The overspend reflects the high level of demand for respite care. This pressure reflects a particular increase in volumes and high care packages in the West area. The packages are currently being reviewed to determine how this pressure can be relieved.

### **1.8 Adoption Allowances and Residence Orders - £100,000 or 7.2% overspend**

An overspend is now being forecast in these areas, £100,000 in total, this represents an increase in placement numbers from 1 April 2004 over and above that predicted for 2004/05. Activity from 1 April shows a net increase of 13 clients; 2 Adoption Allowances and 11 for Residence Orders. This trend looks set to continue for the second part of the year.

### **1.9 Units and Bases - £250,000 or 14.2% underspend**

The mainstream autism bases and secondary speech and language unit were scheduled to open in September 2004. However, following delays, partly due to accommodation issues, the anticipated timescale has been delayed. Accommodation issues have now been, or will shortly, be resolved and all should be open and fully operational from September 2005. This is anticipated to result in savings of £250k in the current year.

### **1.10 Local Services Central - £192,000 or 29.9% underspend**

Vacancies within the division have led to a predicted underspend for this area. However, commitments to increase the level of support to reduce the pressure on the Looked After Children Budget are likely to alter this position.

### **1.11 Family Placement Teams - £110,000 or 2.2% underspend**

This underspend results from continuing staff vacancies, and slippage against planned projects.

**1.12 Section 17 Preventative Care for Children - £80,000 or 11.6% underspend**

This projection is based on the expenditure in 2003/04 net of growth already allowed for in 2004/05 and results from more stringent monitoring of high cost packages. However, this budget is demand led and may be subject to further variations in year.

**Crime Reduction Unit**

**1.13 PCSO Recruitment - £420,000 or 25.5% underspend**

The crime reduction unit anticipates that there will be an underspend of £420,000. Although all allocated PCSO's will be in post, ahead of target, by the end of December 2004, their phased recruitment does mean that it has not been possible to allocate full year operating costs to this project. Proposals may come forward for Cabinet consideration in due course for virement of this underspend to support other crime reduction initiatives.

**Environment**

**1.14 Routine Maintenance – New Traffic Signals Contract – £100,000 or 0.8% overspend**

The contract for maintenance of the road traffic signals operates separately to the general highway maintenance contract and the time has come for its renewal. The lowest tender received quotes prices greatly in excess of those previously paid, which will cause this budget to overspend over the remainder of the financial year. Ways of absorbing it by foregoing work elsewhere are being investigated.

**1.15 Road Lighting – New Energy Contract - £300,000 or 7.4% overspend**

The recent exceptional price conditions experienced on the world's energy markets has coincided with the expiry of the existing street lighting electricity supply contract and the need to tender for its renewal. The new rates, which will be charged for the second half of the year, are much higher than the previous ones. As with item (a), ways to manage the impact of this extra cost will be investigated

## **2. AREAS OF CONCERN**

There are a number of areas of concern /uncertainty where current overspends / underspends exist. No final variations are projected at the year-end on these budgets as there is the potential for these overspends / underspends to be substantially reduced as the year progresses

### **Adult Care Services**

In setting the 2004/05 ACS revenue budget, around £2m was transferred from the elderly residential and nursing home care budget to the homecare budget to reflect the actual expenditure levels in 2003/04. In 2003/04, the policy of supporting more people in their own homes as opposed to placing them in residential or nursing homes resulted in a significant underspend on the residential and nursing care budget (around £2m) with an overspend on the homecare budget.

Three factors should be noted, all of which put pressure on the elderly budgets and in particular the homecare budget -

1. The policy of keeping clients in their own homes for as long as possible before having to place them in residential / nursing homes is continuing in 2004/05 and future years.
2. The ACS department is working in partnership with health to minimise the number of Delayed Discharges in hospitals.
3. There will be no "assessment waiting list" in ACS by December 2004 - there are currently around 400 clients on the "waiting list".

At this stage in the financial year it is projected that both residential and nursing care home budget and the home care budget will be in balance at the year-end. However, it should be noted that there will be full year effects in 2005/06 arising from the increase in numbers supported at home in 2004/05.

The following paragraphs seek to explain the potential volatility on these two budget areas.

### **2.1 Elderly Residential and Nursing Care Homes**

Based on commitments and actuals as at 31 August 2004 and allowing for various adjustments, this large and volatile budget amounting to £49.778m is projected to overspend by £250,000. However, since the turnover of clients (the number of deaths) is greater in the winter months, a balanced budget is being forecast.

The budget is sufficient to fund 100 clients (above turnover) placed evenly throughout the year in addition to around 3,200 who were funded at the start of the financial year. 100 more clients are currently being funded than at the start of the year and therefore the additional clients have been placed more quickly than was assumed when the budget was set.

In setting the 2004/05 budget, £2m was transferred from this budget to the home care budget to reflect the fact that there is a lack of capacity both in terms of total places available and providers agreeing to Hertfordshire's maximum weekly rates. Also, the department's policy (in line with government policy) continues to be to support clients in their own home to avoid or delay admission to a residential or nursing home.

For 2004/05, Hertfordshire's maximum rates were increased by an average of 6% and 7% for residential and nursing care homes respectively. It is anticipated that this real terms increase should enable Hertfordshire to reduce the lack of capacity experienced in 2003/04.

This large and volatile budget should be considered alongside the home care budget (see 2. below).

A balanced budget was reported last month.

## **2.2 Home Care for EPD Client Group**

As above, this is a large and very volatile budget. The budget amounts to £33.442m. In addition to the £2m budget transferred from the residential and nursing home care budget (see 1. above) this budget was increased this year to enable a further 2,000 hours of home care to be provided each week throughout the year.

The number of clients receiving home care has increased since 1 April 2004 by around 5%.

There are almost 600 people currently awaiting assessment (a reduction of over 500 since the start of the financial year) and this waiting list will be cleared by 1 December 2004. However, the number of clients within this 600 who require home care will not be known until later in the financial year.

The department's policy (in line with government policy) continues to be to support clients in their own home to avoid or delay admission to a residential or nursing home.

The policy of minimising the number of delayed discharges in hospital is also putting pressure on this budget.

A balanced budget is being forecast at this stage – the same as was reported last month.

## **Children Schools and Families**

### **2.3 Out County**

This area has a potential overspend of £149,000, based on 321 current active placements. As part of the LAC Strategy, a project is underway to identify those young people who could possibly be returned to in-county provision with support. The project is working to a target of bringing 15 agency placements to an end, by the end of the financial year. This would enable the expenditure to be accommodated within existing budget.

### **2.4 Earmarked Pupil Funding (EPF)**

There is a possible overspend of £96,000 on this budget, taking into account full costs of EPF for individual pupils from September 2004. A full audit will be undertaken in September 2004, in accordance with standard practice. The audit generally reduces the level of commitment on this budget.

### **2.5 School Contingency**

There is a possible underspend of £1.385m on school contingency. This is largely the result of the availability of Targeted Transitional Support Grant to support those schools in financial difficulty, which has reduced the pressure on this fund.

## **2.6 Family Placement Service**

A projected underspend of £300,000 is based on the current number of foster placements funded within the service. As a result of the recent publicity campaign, there has been a number of new carers recruited. However, these new carers are temporarily taking fewer placements and this has reduced placement capability in the short-term. This budget was funded for 604 placements, in provision as at 1 April 2004. The current number of placements is 584 which represents a reduction of 20 at an average cost of £15,000 per annum. There is a close relationship between this budget, Out County Placements and Adoption order allowances.

### **Environment**

## **2.7 Highways Management – Category 1 Works**

Increased accessibility and use of the public fault reporting facility, together with an enhanced inspection regime, has contributed to a projected significant overspend on the Category 1 works budget (the immediate reaction to reported and discovered highway faults). An urgent review is being undertaken of the likely impact of containing the spend within the overall Environment budget.

## **2.8 Strategic Environmental Assessments (SEA)**

Guidelines implementing the European Union Directive on Strategic Environmental Assessments have recently been published. These require detailed assessments to be done on a number of County Council produced plans such as the Local Transport Plan, the Minerals Local Plan and the Waste Plan. The costs of undertaking these assessments have not been allowed for and will inevitably increase the pressure on the relevant budgets in 2004/05.

## **2.9 SAP**

The introduction of SAP has made it necessary to make substantial amendments to the HERMIS system which is causing a temporary reduction in the detail of financial information available on highways works. A plan is in place to minimise the duration of this and to reduce potential budgetary control problems.

<b>2. Capital Budget</b>		
Original budget		146,547
Latest Approved Budget at 31/08/04		170,506
Forecast outturn		167,425
<b>Variance- overspend/(underspend)</b>		<b>(3,081)</b>
<b>Change from previous monitor</b>		<b>(2,479)</b>
<i>Previous monitor 31st<sup>h</sup> July 2004</i>		
Latest Approved Budget at 31/07/04		168,617
Forecast outturn from previous monitor		168,015
<b>Variance- overspend/(underspend)</b>		<b>(602)</b>
<b>Details of variances (previous monitor variances in italics)</b>	<b>@31/08/04</b>	<b>@31/07/04</b>
Children Schools&Families	0	0
Adult Care Services	(3,072)	0
Environment	152	125
Community Services	(1,230)	(1,620)
Information Technology	0	0
Corp Services	1,069	893
Magistrates Courts	(0)	(0)
<b>Net variance</b>	<b>(3,081)</b>	<b>(602)</b>

## **2. Material changes (over £75,000) to Capital monitor August 2004**

### **2.1 Adult Care Services**

#### **2.1.1 Marsh Lane Day Centre – slippage £723,000**

The delay on this scheme is due to the problems in identifying a suitable site for the replacement of this day centre. A suitable site has now been found and design work is now progressing. Nevertheless, a start date on site is not envisaged until May 2005.

The budget for 2004/05 is £849,000 and estimated expenditure is now £126,000 resulting in slippage of £723,000.

#### **2.1.2. Jubilee Day Centre – slippage £200,000**

Although this is an extremely high priority project for ACS, this scheme has slipped due to the inability to find or confirm a suitable site. The latest proposal is to relocate on the Ariston Works site but development work on this overall scheme has slipped. Projected expenditure of £50,000 in 2004/05 relates to feasibility work only.

The budget for 2004/05 is £250,000 and therefore the estimated slippage is £200,000.

#### **2.1.2 Dacorum Project – slippage £755,000**

Although this project is progressing, due to the complexity of the project requiring the agreement of three parties (ACS, HPT and the local PCT) it is proving harder to move forward than was anticipated. Slippage of all of the budget, except for £100,000 on fees, is expected. Costs incurred to date are £11,000.

The budget for 2004/05 is £866,000 and therefore the estimated slippage is £755,000.

#### **2.1.4. Balmoral Day Centre – slippage £1.394m**

A preferred site has been identified but, if this is agreed, a start may not take place before September 2006, as the site will not be vacated before then.

The optimistic assumption being made is that £100,000 of fees will be incurred in the final quarter of 2004/05.

Fees of £6,000 have been incurred in 2004/05 to date.

The budget for 2004/05 is £1.5m and therefore the slippage is estimated to be £1.394m.

#### **2.2.1 Environment**

##### **South Mimms Depot - £152,000 overspend**

The overspend of £125,000 which was previously reported has increased by £27,000 to £152,000.

The overspend on the project is due to a number of unforeseen additional elements of work or compensation events.

Three examples of such events are given below:

- a. Late inclusion of a storm water retention tank due to late intervention of the Environment Agency
- b. Upgrade of the CCTV facilities as the original specification had underestimated the amount of equipment to be stored on site
- c. The electrical power connection has to be updated as the existing supply proved inadequate for the demands placed on it.

#### **Corporate Services**

##### **2.3.1 Land Purchase, Feasibility Studies and Rationalisation Schemes - £1.069m overspend**

Although additional funding of £590,000 has been added to the budget since the last report, the projected overspend has increased to £1,069,000 due to a number of additional schemes, including the Herts Area Wide Reviews and the Rural Estates Improvement Plan.

**COUNTY SUMMARY REVENUE BUDGET  
MONITOR AS 31st AUGUST 2004**

SERVICE	Original Budget	Carry Forward from 2003/04	(all figures in £'000)		Projected Spend at Year End	Projected Variance	Carry Forwards 2005/06 Requested
			Approved Virements & Tech Adj	Latest Approved Budget			
Adult Care Services	185,683	1,182	(58)	186,807	187,107	300	
CSF Schools	578,530		66	578,596	578,307	(289)	
CSF Education Non-Schools	66,412		58	66,470	67,563	1,093	
CSF Children's Services	78,687	243	58	78,988	80,238	1,250	
<b>Children, Schools &amp; Families</b>	<b>723,629</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>724,054</b>	<b>726,108</b>	<b>2,054</b>	
<u>Community Services</u>							
Fire	34,097	0	37	34,134	34,174	40	
Libraries, HALS, Arts & Trading Stds	22,042	50	(47)	22,045	22,015	(30)	<b>30</b>
Crime Reduction Unit	2,354	36	0	2,390	1,970	(420)	
Coroners	813	0	0	813	813	0	
Registration	734	65	0	799	799	0	
Emergency Planning	296	62	0	358	358	0	
<b>Total Community Services</b>	<b>60,336</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>(10)</b>	<b>60,539</b>	<b>60,129</b>	<b>(410)</b>	
Corporate Services	10,784	398	113	11,295	11,295	0	
Environment	100,510	20	(52)	100,478	100,878	400	
Information Technology	317	228	42	587	387	(200)	
Magistrates Courts (net)	2,088	26	16	2,130	2,130	0	
Contingency	3,192	0	(101)	3,091	3,091	0	
Special Provision	5,300		0	5,300	5,300	0	
Precepts	1,877			1,877	1,897	20	
Central Services	19,436		(133)	19,303	19,303	0	
Capital Charges non operational assets	5,568		0	5,568	5,568	0	
Asset Management Revenue Account	(107,536)		0	(107,536)	(107,536)	0	
Pensions Int Cost & Expected RoA	22,154			22,154	22,154	0	
Pension Reserve Appropriation	(14,158)			(14,158)	(14,158)	0	
<b>NET REVENUE BUDGET</b>	<b>1,019,180</b>	<b>2,310</b>	<b>(1)</b>	<b>1,021,490</b>	<b>1,023,654</b>	<b>2,164</b>	<b>30</b>
Funded from Capital Resources	(2,500)			(2,500)	(2,500)	0	
<b>COUNTY FUND TOTAL</b>	<b>1,016,680</b>	<b>2,310</b>	<b>(1)</b>	<b>1,018,990</b>	<b>1,021,154</b>	<b>2,164</b>	<b>30</b>

**APPENDIX B**
**CAPITAL BUDGET MONITOR AS AT 31 AUGUST 2004**

SERVICE	Original Budget 2004/05	Adjustments & Virements (approved & requested)	Previous Year Slippage	Latest Budget 2004/05	Projected Spend at Year End	Projected Year End Variance
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
<b>CHILDREN, SCHOOLS &amp; FAMILIES (CSF)</b>						
General Funded	5,085	1,775	2,347	9,207	9,207	
Externally Funded	51,342	1,326	8,856	61,524	61,524	
Self Financing	11,442	(1,385)	0	10,057	10,057	
Annual Provisions	8,085	(658)	(45)	7,382	7,382	
<b>Total CSF</b>	<b>75,954</b>	<b>1,058</b>	<b>11,158</b>	<b>88,170</b>	<b>88,170</b>	
<b>ADULT CARE SERVICES</b>						
General Funded	1,741	2,056	1,565	5,362	4,439	(923)
Externally Funded	938	96	272	1,306	1,306	
Self Financing	2,366	0	0	2,366	217	(2,149)
Annual Provisions	786	0	291	1,077	1,077	0
<b>Total Adult Care Services</b>	<b>5,831</b>	<b>2,152</b>	<b>2,128</b>	<b>10,111</b>	<b>7,039</b>	<b>(3,072)</b>
<b>Environment</b>						
General Funded	9,102	0	360	9,462	9,462	
Externally Funded	42,312	1,900	668	44,880	44,880	
Self Financing	0	660	0	660	812	152
Annual Provisions	1,463	0	0	1,463	1,463	
<b>Total Environment</b>	<b>52,877</b>	<b>2,560</b>	<b>1,028</b>	<b>56,465</b>	<b>56,617</b>	<b>152</b>
<b>Community Services</b>						
County Funded	2,063	(466)	1059	2,656	1,426	(1,230)
Externally Funded	0	0	0	0	0	
Self Financing	5,200	(1,250)	0	3,950	3,950	
Annual Provisions	860	110	390	1,360	1,360	
<b>Total Community Information</b>	<b>8,123</b>	<b>(1,606)</b>	<b>1,449</b>	<b>7,966</b>	<b>6,736</b>	<b>(1,230)</b>
<b>Information Technology</b>						
County Funded	0	0	119	119	119	
Externally Funded	0	650	347	997	997	
Annual Provisions	368	0	162	530	530	
<b>Total Information Technology</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>1,646</b>	<b>1,646</b>	
<b>Corporate Services</b>						
County Funded	2,624	62	1,235	3,921	3,921	
Annual Provisions	770	590	639	1999	3,058	1,069
<b>Total Corporate Services</b>	<b>3,394</b>	<b>652</b>	<b>1,874</b>	<b>5,920</b>	<b>6,579</b>	<b>659</b>
<b>Magistrates Courts</b>						
Externally Funded	0	228	0	228	228	
<b>Total</b>	<b>146,547</b>	<b>5,,694</b>	<b>18,265</b>	<b>170,506</b>	<b>167,425</b>	<b>(3,081)</b>

- underspends shown in brackets