

To: All Members of the County Council
All Chief Officers

From COUNTY SECRETARY'S
DEPARTMENT

Ask for David Roberts
Ext. 25562
Minicom 26611
My Ref. DR/
Your Ref.

**CABINET
17 DECEMBER 2001**

**MINUTES
ATTENDANCE**

MEMBERS OF THE CABINET

D A Ashley, D Beatty, R J M Ellis (Chairman), G D Game, R I N Gordon, M H T Janes, D B Lloyd, D E Lloyd, J M Pitman, I E M Tarry.

Other Members present:

R Mays, C J White, P V Goggins, N K Brook, and D W Hills.

Upon consideration of the agenda for the Cabinet meeting on 17 December 2001 as circulated, copy annexed, executive decisions were reached and are recorded below:

Note: No conflicts of interest were declared by any member of the Cabinet in relation to the matters on which decisions were made at this meeting and set out below.

1. MINUTES

The minutes of the Cabinet held on 19 November 2001 were confirmed as a correct record.

2. PANELS, TOPIC GROUPS AND BEST VALUE REVIEW GROUPS

Decision

1. That the following Cabinet Panels be established:
(Each Panel will consider those matters referred to it by the Executive, the Leader of the Council, the Executive Member, or Scrutiny Committees, relating to its remit and make recommendations)

A ADULT CARE PORTFOLIO

(1) Eligibility Panel.

(a) Remit:

- Eligibility criteria for social care and NHS continuing care

minutes

(b) Membership: to be notified to the County Secretary by the Leader of the Council

(2) **Health Panel.**

(a) Remit:

- Responses to proposals from national Government on the health development programme
- responses to proposals to change or develop the delivery of health services in Hertfordshire.

(b) Membership: to be notified to the County Secretary by the Leader of the Council

B COMMUNITY INFORMATION AND DEVELOPMENT PORTFOLIO.

(1) **Community Strategy Panel.**

(a) Remit:

- the development and implementation with partners, of the County Council's community strategy

(b) Membership: to be notified to the County Secretary by the Leader of the Council

(2) **Crime Reduction Panel.**

(a) Remit:

- the development and implementation of the County Council's policy for Crime & Disorder reduction

(b) Membership: to be notified to the County Secretary by the Leader of the Council

(3) **E-Government Panel.**

(a) Remit:

- the implementation and monitoring of the Gateway; the implementation of E-Government

(b) Membership: to be notified to the County Secretary by the Leader of the Council

(4) **Libraries Panel.**

(a) Remit:

- the development and implementation of the Council's policy for the Library Service

(b) Membership: to be notified to the County Secretary by the Leader of the Council

C ENVIRONMENT PORTFOLIO

(1) **Aggregates Panel.**

(a) Remit:

- the Minerals Local Plan and related issues

(b) Membership: to be notified to the County Secretary by the Leader of the Council

(2) **Structure Plan Panel.**

(a) Remit:

- the Structure Plan and related issues
- (b) Membership: to be notified to the County Secretary by the Leader of the Council
- (3) **Transport Panel.**
 - (a) Remit:
 - The Local Transport Plan and related issues
 - (b) Membership: to be notified to the County Secretary by the Leader of the Council
- (4) **Waste Panel.**
 - (a) Remit:
 - The Waste Management Strategy and related issues
 - (b) Membership: to be notified to the County Secretary by the Leader of the Council

D RESOURCES PORTFOLIO.

- (1) **Property Panel.**
 - (a) Remit:
 - development and implementation of the Council's policy for property management
 - (b) Membership: to be notified to the County Secretary by the Leader of the Council

E CHILDREN SCHOOLS & FAMILIES PORTFOLIO.

- (1) **Strategy Panel .**
 - (a) Remit:
 - major policy issues
 - (b) Membership: 9 County Councillors (6:3) and :

One parent governor or church representative on the Scrutiny Committee (voting)
One representative of the appropriate Management Partnership (non-voting)

- (2) **Resources Panel.**
 - (a) Remit:
 - the revenue budget
 - the capital programme
 - the scheme of delegation to schools
 - school places
 - the annual admissions process
 - home to school transport

(b) Membership: 11 County Councillors (7:4) and :

One parent governor or church representative on the Scrutiny Committee (voting)
One representative of the appropriate Management Partnership (non-voting)

- (3) **Achievement Panel.**
 - (a) Remit:

- the achievement of children, schools and families within the policies of the council from time to time

(b) Membership: 13 County Councillors (8:5) and :

One parent governor or church representative on the Scrutiny Committee (voting)
One representative of the appropriate Management Partnership (non-voting)

- (4) Cabinet endorses the intention to establish member processes to focus expertise regarding special needs and corporate parenting.
2. That the Best Value Review Groups listed in Appendix A to these minutes be re-established as Best Value Review Groups reporting to the Cabinet with the memberships set out therein.
 3. That the Council be recommended to establish an Investment Committee as follows:

Remit: the Council's functions in relation to the Local Government Pension Scheme and the Fireman's Pension Scheme.

Membership: 8 County Councillors (5:3)
3 persons appointed by the Hertfordshire Local Government Association (non-voting).
 4. That the County Secretary be authorised to establish further Cabinet Panels at the request of the appropriate Executive Members.

Reasons for the decision

The new Constitution allocated advice on policy formulation to Cabinet Panels. In adopting the Constitution on 27 November, the Council invited the Cabinet to consider the establishment of Cabinet Panels at this meeting.

Special consideration was given to the Investment Panel. The legislation prohibits those functions which fell within that Panel's remit from being dealt with by the Cabinet (or by a Panel on the Cabinet's behalf). They must be dealt with by the full Council, or by a traditional style Committee (or by an officer).

There needs to be a member body to oversee the management of the Pension Fund, and to take decisions on policy issues relating to it. The report suggested that the way forward would be for the Cabinet to recommend to the Council that the Investment Panel be converted into an Investment Committee with full delegated powers to deal with pension matters. The Panel's added members, representing non-Council participants in the Fund, would be retained as non-voting members of the Committee.

Finally, the Cabinet needed to consider the re-establishment of Best Value Review Groups, which under the Constitution became the responsibility of the Cabinet.

Any alternative options considered and rejected

None

3. STANDING ORDERS AND DELEGATIONS TO OFFICERS

(Forward Plan Ref: A004/01)

Decision

That

(a) The Standing Orders for the Executive be adopted as set out in Annex 7 of the Constitution

(b) The Scheme of Delegation to Officers be approved as set out in Annex 3, Section 3, of the Constitution, subject to the following amendments:

in 3.1.20 delete all after "...consultation paper" and insert "without prior approval of the appropriate Executive Member or in the absence of the Executive Member the Leader of the Council"

in 3.4 delete (ii) and insert new (ii) "making or responding to objections to the Schools Adjudicator in respect of the admission arrangements for schools in Hertfordshire."

Reasons for the decision

The Constitution agreed by the County Council on 27 November 2001 contained standing orders for the Executive and delegations from the Executive to officers. The Executive needed to approve these.

The relevant extracts from the Constitution were attached as follows:

- Annex 7 - Standing Orders for the Executive
- Annex 3 Section 3 - Scheme of Delegation to Officers

Any alternative options considered and rejected

None

4. BEST VALUE REVIEW OF SERVICES TO ADULTS WITH PHYSICAL DISABILITIES OR SENSORY LOSS

(Forward Plan Ref: A003/01)

Decision

That the recommendations emerging from the review as set out in the covering report (and in the Recommendations and Implementation Plan, Appendix 3 to the report) be approved subject to any additional costs being found from the proposed 2002/3 Adult Care budget.

Reasons for the decision

The rationale for each of the recommendations of the review was set out in column two of Appendix 3 to the report.

Any alternative options considered and rejected

None.

5. JOINT MUNICIPAL WASTE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY FOR HERTFORDSHIRE
(Forward Plan Ref: A007/01)

Decision

That the County Council

- a) Endorse the draft Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy for the purposes of public consultation;
- b) Support the appended County Council Outline Recycling Plan as a framework for this authority to contribute a 45% recycling rate at its Household Waste Sites in order to assist in the achievement of a countywide level of 30% by 2005/06;
- c) Endorse the Waste Panel's detailed comments on the Draft Strategy for consideration by the Waste Strategy Development Group when finalising the consultation document.

Reasons for the decision

The local authorities in Hertfordshire have been working together for several years to address waste issues. For the last eighteen months, the ten District and Borough Councils have been working with the County Council in a concerted effort to develop a Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy for Hertfordshire.

The Joint Member Waste Strategy Development Group (WSDG) had produced a draft Strategy and was seeking agreement from each local authority to commence public consultation

The draft Strategy provided a robust framework to shape the future delivery of this service.

Any alternative options considered and rejected

None

6. COUNTY COUNCIL RESOURCE BUDGET 2002/2003 – 2005/2006
(Forward Plan Ref: A005/01)

Decision

1. That Hertfordshire MPs be thanked for their support in convincing the Government to recognise some of the additional needs of the County which have produced the County Council's SSA. The Cabinet regrets the continued chronic underfunding of personal social services and the failure properly to reflect additional burdens on the Environment budget.

2. The Cabinet calls on the Government to reinstate the funding they have taken from the County Council, both the £1.6 million Education share of the reduction in funding and the £1.1 million in respect of other services.
3. That all Councillors, MPs and Schools be asked to lobby Government to persuade them to reinstate the funding unfairly cut from the County's RSG allocation.
4. That the Cabinet re-affirms its commitment to continue to fund Education and Schools above the level funded by the Government by £2.7m on a Best Value accounting basis (equivalent to £0.6m on the previous accounting basis).
5. That:
 - a) the Children, Schools and Families (Education) best value budget for 2002/2003 be proposed for consideration by Scrutiny Committees at £657.917m which includes growth of £9.2m: the detailed allocation of this growth money will depend on consultations on the overall delegations to schools and a resolution of the uncertainties and complications around the changes to post 16 funding.
 - b) the best value budget for all other services be proposed for consideration by Scrutiny Committees as shown in the report subject to the cash limiting of pressures included in the budget to the levels shown in Appendix B (attached) to reflect the £1.1 million reduction in government funding.

to produce a proposed best value budget as set out below:

		2002/03 Proposed Budget £m
Adult Care Services		156.223
Children, Schools and Families		709.159
Community Information		20.631
Corporate Services		12.423
Environment		85.000
Protection		
Fire & Rescue	34.787	
Coroners	0.740	
Emergency Planning	0.267	
Registration	0.710	
Trading Standards	<u>2.206</u>	38.710
Central Items		41.891
Asset Management Revenue Account		<u>(210.098)</u>
		<u>853.939</u>

6. That the Environment Scrutiny Committee and Transport Panel be asked to analyse the LTP and that the Director of Environment, with the agreement of the Executive Member, includes a recommended allocation across the constituent parts in his report to Cabinet on the Integrated Works Programme, which is due on 22 March 2002.

7. That the Executive Member for Children, Schools and Families takes forward any implications arising in respect of the Education Capital settlement and tables them for consideration by the Children, Schools and Families Scrutiny Committee.
8. That the Capital Programme for 2002/03 – 2004/05 be approved as set out in the attached schedules (Appendix C attached).
9. That the Finance Director be authorised to borrow on behalf of the County Council for the year ending 31 March 2003 such amounts as are required to meet approved capital expenditure within the limits of the basic and supplementary credit approvals received; the amounts to be borrowed for periods which will not result in the overall borrowing limit of £150 million being exceeded, and of which no more than £70 million shall be short term and 30% with variable interest rate terms;
10. That vehicles and equipment up to a value of £3.5 million be purchased by the County Council in the year ending 31 March 2003, the total cost of which to be financed by entering into operating lease agreements as defined by Regulation 20 of the Local Authorities (Capital Finance) Regulations 1997, with the County Council acting as lessee.

Reasons for the decision

In order to set the budget for 2002/03, this meeting of the Cabinet needed to make budget proposals for consideration by Scrutiny Committees during January 2002. Cabinet at its meeting on 28 January will consider the Scrutiny Committees' comments, the impact of any updated and further information and recommend a budget to County Council on 14 February 2002 so that:

- i) budgets delegated to schools can be notified;
and
- ii) the District Councils can be informed of the County Council's Council Tax requirement within the required timescales.

Reason for the decisions taken are set out in the decisions section above.

Any alternative options considered and rejected

None.

7. BEST VALUE PERFORMANCE PLAN AND PUBLIC CONSULTATION
(Forward Plan Ref: A005/01)**Decision**

That the draft Best Value Performance Plan (BVPP) be approved for consultation and the comments of the Scrutiny Committees be invited.

Reasons for the decision

The County Council has to produce a BVPP, which covers all its activities, sets targets for performance, and sets out the Council's priorities for the future as well as being a vehicle for reporting outcomes of the Best Value Reviews.

The report summarised the outcomes of public consultation and reported the headline results of the citizen's panel on priorities.

The draft BVPP will be submitted to Scrutiny Committees in January for comments. The Cabinet on 28 January 2002 will then consider final draft for submission to full Council on 14 February 2002 for approval

Any alternative options considered and rejected

None.

8. COUNTY COUNCIL REVENUE AND CAPITAL BUDGET MONITOR

Decision

1. That the following virements be approved:

(a) From: Contingency
To: Corporate Services
Amount: £107,000
Reason: To cover cost of increases in bank charges arising from the revised contract with National Westminster Bank.

(b) From: Contingency
To: Environment
Amount: £148,000
Reason: To cover cost of higher than expected inflation on waste management contract renewals brought forward from 2000/01.

(c) From: ACS, CS&F, CI, Environment & Fire
To: Corporate Services
Amount: £855,000
Reason: To reflect the movement of staff from services to the newly formed Corporate ICT and Infrastructure (CICTIS) and Corporate Information Systems (CIS) divisions within Corporate Services/ Community Information effective from 1 April 2001.

2. That services continue to manage their expenditure in order to eliminate or reduce the pressures on the current year budgets and that any resultant overspendings at the year end be carried forward as a first charge against the 2002/03 budget.

Reasons for the decision

As at 30 September 2001 an overall pressure of £0.611m (0.07%) was projected on the latest budget of £837.502m.

The principal reasons for the variation in the forecast revenue outturn were listed in the report (Table 2, Para 1.2), whilst a more detailed analysis was shown in Appendix A to the report.

Table 3 (Para 2.1), of the report, analysed by service the forecast capital slippage of £8.615m, which included £4.8m on self-financing schemes. Analysis by type of variance was shown in Table 4 (Para 2.1), whilst a detailed analysis was supplied in Appendix B to the report.

Any alternative options considered and rejected

To meet all the net overspends from reserves. If the current position were maintained there would be a net reduction of £611,000 in the level of reserves at 31 March 2002 to £13.4m.

APPENDIX A (Minute 2 refers)

BEST VALUE REVIEW GROUPS

1 LEA Services to Schools Best Value Review Group

Hertfordshire County Council Services to Schools

Membership: (7) (4:2:1) **Conservative (4)** **Labour (2)**
 P T J Channell D Billing
 D W Hills D Royall
 J Taunton (Ch)
 J W A Usher

Parent Representative: Pat Cherry

2 Environmental Management Best Value Review Group

To conduct a review of Environmental Management as part of the programme of reviews.

Membership: (5) (3:2) **Conservative** **Labour** **Liberal Democrat**
 M V Bayes B A York G R Churchard
 J E Anderson
 G D Game (Ch)

3 Fire Service Procurement Best Value Review Group

Membership: (5) (3:2) **Conservative** **Labour**
 P T J Channell I H Laidlaw-Dickson
 K F Emsall (Ch) K M Crout
 W A Storey

Substitute: D R McManus

4 Community Safety Best Value Review Group

Membership: (5) **Conservative** **Labour** **Liberal Democrat**
 N K Brook (Ch) D E Billing M D Colne
 D A A Peek
 R H Smith

Substitutes: J T Metcalf

5 Employment of People and Personnel Best Value Review Group

Membership: (5) (3:2) Conservative Labour Liberal Democrat
 D Beatty D E Billing
 G D Game D R McManus
 D B Lloyd (Ch)

6 Economic Development Best Value Review Group

Membership: (5) (3:2) Conservative Labour
 R N Copping R J Smith
 B C Engel B A York
 D E Lloyd (Ch)

7 Voluntary Sector Grants Best Value Review Group

Membership: (5) (3:2) Conservative Labour
 D A Ashley (Ch) F W Clayton
 D Beatty R J Smith
 H M Saunders

8 Reprographics and Communications Best Value Review Groups

(a) Reprographics

Membership: (5) (3:2) Conservative Labour Liberal Democrat
 D A Ashley G F Wenham vacancy
 J M Pitman (Ch)
 A M R Searing

(b) Communications

Membership: (5) (3:2) Conservative Labour Liberal Democrat
 D A Ashley (Ch) G F Wenham vacancy
 J M Pitman
 A M R Searing

9 Sensory/Physical Disabilities Best Value Review Group**Remit**

Best Value review of services for adults with sensory/physical disabilities.

Membership: (5) (3:2)	<u>Conservative</u>	<u>Labour</u>	<u>Liberal Democrat</u>
	K J Coleman (Ch) B J Lamb D B Lloyd	P A Webb	
Substitutes:	J R Morton		

**10 Services for the Elderly with Mental Health Problems
Best Value Review Group****Remit**

Best Value review of services for the elderly with mental health problems.

Membership: (5) (3:2)	<u>Conservative</u>	<u>Labour</u>	<u>Liberal Democrat</u>
	K J Coleman (Ch) B J Lamb J M Pitman	S A Batson M Downing	
Substitutes:	P A Ruffles		

APPENDIX C (Minute 6 refers)

**PROPOSED CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2002/03 – 2004/05
ADULT CARE SERVICES**

Scheme	Total Scheme Cost £'000	2002/03 £'000	2003/04 £'000	2004/05 £'000	Subsequent Years £'000
<u>General Programme Schemes</u>					
County Wide LD Hostel Reprovision (£800,000 capital receipt to reduce scheme cost)	3,863	360	150		
Disability Discrimination Act - Day Centres	200	100	100		
Enhance Network Links to ACS sites	960	220	185	430	125
Gypsy site Regeneration (see externally funded)	355	89			
Total General Programme	5,378	769	435	430	125
<u>Self-financing Schemes</u>					
<i>Dacorum Project (see note below)</i>	<i>1,500</i>	<i>750</i>	<i>250</i>		
<i>Balmoral Day Centre - Reprovision (see note below)</i>	<i>1,785</i>		<i>1,425</i>		
Total Self Financing	3,285	750	1,675		
<u>Externally Funded Schemes</u>					
Gypsy Site Reorganisation (external funding will be 75% of total programme, the balance in General Programme)	355	266			
Total External Programme	355	266			
Total Programme	9,018	1,785	2,110	430	125

Note: Cabinet report will be required to agree the principles and management arrangements of these schemes.

**PROPOSED CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2002/03 – 2004/05
CHILDREN, SCHOOLS AND FAMILIES**

Scheme	Total Scheme Cost £'000	2002/03 £'000	2003/04 £'000	2004/05 £'000	Subsequent Years £'000
General Programme Schemes					
<u>1999/2000 Design Starts:</u>					
South West Herts Secondary Places (Francis Coombe)	3,990	1,235			
<u>2000/01 Design Starts:</u>					
Applecroft JMI	147	59			
Ashlyns Secondary	210	84			
Astley Cooper Secondary	367	171			
Broxbourne Secondary	126		50		
Edwinstree Middle	315	151			
Grove Road Primary	289	116			
Heathcote Secondary	126	50			
Hemel Hempstead Secondary	204	74			
Kingsway Junior	110	44			
Millbrook JMI	289	116			
Prae Wood Primary	179	71			
Roundwood Park	367		146		
Sheredes Secondary	473	189			
St Albans Girls Secondary	1,155	462			
William Ransom Primary	252		119		
<u>2001/02 Design Starts:</u>					
Bowmansgreen JMI	893		481	363	
Bushey Meads Secondary	1,575	819	646		
Collenswood	220	117	88		
Falconer	1,550		822	620	
John Warner (net cost)	500		240	225	
Knutsford	205	191			
Woodfield	450		155	263	
Capital Challenge HCC Contribution (external funding £10.862 million)	238	238			
<u>2002/03 Design Starts:</u>					
Heathcote	802	56	425	321	
Hitchin Boys	915	64	485	366	
Lakeside	326	23	173	130	
Presdales	915		64	485	
Sheredes	172	11	92	69	
Sir John Lawes	481	33	255	193	
St Albans Primary Provision	420		30	222	
Verulam	915	57	430	428	
Total for Existing Schemes	19,176	4,431	4,701	3,685	

**PROPOSED CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2002/03 – 2004/05
CHILDREN, SCHOOLS AND FAMILIES**

Scheme	Total Scheme Cost £'000	2002/03 £'000	2003/04 £'000	2004/05 £'000	Subsequent Years £'000
<u>General Programme Schemes</u> <u>(cont'd)</u>					
<u>New Proposals</u>					
<u>Accommodation needs to comply with legislation:</u>					
Martindale	195	195			
St Clement Danes (Basic Need)	350	25	186	139	
Knebworth new nursery class	195	195			
Barnwell Refectory	600	9	69	52	
<u>Self Financing Scheme needing forward funding:</u>					
Round Diamond (total scheme cost £4 million)	4,000	1,230	100		
<u>CSF Administration Requirements:</u>					
ICT Infrastructure	650	215	160	150	125
Total General Programme	25,166	6,300	5,216	4,026	125

**PROPOSED CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2002/03 – 2004/05
CHILDREN, SCHOOLS AND FAMILIES**

Scheme	Total Scheme Cost £'000	2002/03 £'000	2003/04 £'000	2004/05 £'000	Subsequent Years £'000
<u>Self-financing Schemes</u>					
Monks Walk (bid against proceeds already secured)	1,640	1,585	55		
Squirrels (bid against proceeds already secured)	350	350			
Part of Oxhey Nursery Scheme (nursery mobile)	130	130			
Meriden Nursery, Watford	180	152	16		
Creswick Relocation	3,500	2,015	1,460		
Stevenage Primary Places - Round Diamond	4,000	2,500			
Hillmead Relocation	3,031	245			
Jenyns (partial pre-funding in lieu of £0.34 m contribution from sale of land)	1,085		340		
<i>Howe Dell (see note below)</i>	750	52	648	50	
<i>Disposal of Youth Properties to Fund Improvements (see note below)</i>	1,543	108	818	617	
<i>Barnwell Rectory, Stevenage (see note below)</i>	600	470			
<i>Cheshunt Scheme - Turnford and Goffs (see note below)</i>	7,030	960	5,770	300	
Total Self Financing	23,839	8,567	9,107	967	

Note: Cabinet report will be required to agree the principles and management arrangements of these schemes.

**PROPOSED CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2002/03 – 2004/05
CHILDREN, SCHOOLS AND FAMILIES**

Scheme	Total Scheme Cost £'000	2002/03 £'000	2003/04 £'000	2004/05 £'000	Subsequent Years £'000
<u>Externally Funded Schemes</u>					
Devolved Schools Capital 2002/2003	4,916	4,916			
Devolved Schools Capital 2003/2004	9,456		9,456		
Seed Challenge 2002/2003	1,220	1,220			
Seed Challenge 2003/2004	1,220		1,220		
Condition Funding - LEA 2002/2003	5,574	5,574			
Condition Funding - LEA 2003/2004	7,110		7,110		
Basic Needs 2001/2002 Approvals	4,345	2,303	1,738		
Basic Needs 2000/2001 Approvals:					
Cheshunt Secondary	801	320			
Rickmansworth Secondary	2,873	1,150			
Total External Programme	37,515	15,483	19,524		
Total Programme	86,520	30,350	33,847	4,993	125

**PROPOSED CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2002/03 – 2004/05
COMMUNITY INFORMATION**

Scheme	Total Scheme Cost £'000	2001/02 £'000	2002/03 £'000	2003/04 £'000	Subsequent Years £'000
<u>General Programme Schemes</u>					
Enhanced Public PC Provision	1,003	150	150	150	
Public Library Internet Services	75		45	30	
DDA Compliance: Building Work and Facilities	1,180	100	100	100	880
Total General Programme	2,258	250	295	280	880
Total Programme	2,258	250	295	280	880

**PROPOSED CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2002/03 – 2004/05
PROTECTION**

Scheme	Total Scheme Cost £'000	2002/03 £'000	2003/04 £'000	2004/05 £'000	Subsequent Years £'000
<u>General Programme Schemes</u>					
IT Equipment for Command and Control Centre	180	60	60	60	
IT Upgrades	55	55			
Road Traffic Accident Equipment	525	130	130	130	
ICCS Replacement	250	125	125		
Upgrading of Bovington Fire Station	750		750		
Essential Operational Equipment	192	64	64	64	
Total General Programme	1,952	434	1,129	254	
<u>Self-financing Schemes</u>					
<i>Replacement of Watford Fire Station (see note below)</i>	<i>2,500</i>	<i>1,000</i>	<i>1,500</i>		
<i>Replacement of St Albans Fire Station (see note below)</i>	<i>2,400</i>	<i>1,200</i>	<i>1,200</i>		
Total Self Financing	4,900	2,200	2,700		
Total Programme	6,852	2,634	3,829	254	

Note: Cashflows for these schemes are indicative at this stage. Final budgets and cashflows as well as the management arrangements for these schemes will require separate Cabinet approval once details of the schemes are formalised.

**PROPOSED CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2002/03 – 2004/05
ENVIRONMENT**

Scheme	Total Scheme Cost £'000	2002/03 £'000	2003/04 £'000	2004/05 £'000	Subsequent Years £'000
General Programme Schemes					
Works to Aldenham Dam	119	119			
New Household Waste Recycling Centre - Waterdale	735	585			
Baldock Bypass (separate bid under Externally Funded programme)	750			250	500
Maintenance of the Highway Asset - Non Principal Roads (separate bid under Externally Funded programme)	4,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
Provision of Disabled Crossing Point Facilities	300	150	150		
Rights of Way Bridge Refurbishment	600	150	150	150	150
ICT Set Up Costs of New Highways Offices	275	100	175		
Digitising Highway Boundary Information	120	30	30	30	30
Total General Programme	6,899	2,134	1,505	1,430	1,680

**PROPOSED CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2002/03 – 2004/05
ENVIRONMENT**

Scheme	Total Scheme Cost £'000	2002/03 £'000	2003/04 £'000	2004/05 £'000	Subsequent Years £'000
<u>Externally Funded Schemes</u> See note below					
Total Programme					

The 2002/03 Local Transport Capital Expenditure Settlement was not received until 13 December, and as a consequence has not been included in this report. As there will be insufficient time to review the settlement and consult with district councils before Cabinet on 28 January 2002; it is suggested that the Environment Scrutiny Committee and Transport Panel analyse the LTP and that the Director of Environment includes a recommended allocation across the constitute parts in his report to Cabinet on the Integrated Works Programme which is due on 22 March 2002.

**PROPOSED CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2002/03 – 2004/05
CORPORATE SERVICES**

Scheme	Total Scheme Cost £'000	2002/03 £'000	2003/04 £'000	2004/05 £'000	Subsequent Years £'000
<u>General Programme Schemes</u>					
Corporate Finance and HR Systems (excluding schools)	2,043	1,180	776		
<u>Corporate ICT:</u>					
Data Network Enhancements	2,317	770	770	770	
Token Ring Replacement	323	323			
Windows 2000	1,677	97			
Total for Corporate ICT	4,317	1,190	770	770	
County Hall: Major Maintenance Works	1,105		794	311	
Total General Programme	7,465	2,370	2,330	1,081	
Total Programme	7,465	2,370	2,330	1,081	