

Temporary appendix comparing HCC performance in 2000-01 with Shire Counties

Category	2000-01 PI No.	Description	Contents/forma t	2000-01 Hertfordshire	'top' 25% performing Shire Authorities	Are we in the top 25% performing Shire Authorities?
ACS	52	Cost intensive care for adults	£/residential & nursing care weeks	£480.00	£352.00	No
ACS	53	Intensive home care	No. per 1,000 pop 65+	9.20	9.20	Yes
ACS	54	Older people helped to live at home	No. per 1,000 pop 65+	56.40	76.48	No
ACS	55	Reviews	%	46.0%	54.00%	No
ACS	56	Equipment	%	82.7%	95.00%	No
ACS	57	Satisfaction survey: users/carers who got help quickly:	% that did	85.0%	91.00%	No
ACS	58	Needs statements	%	91.0%	90.63%	Yes
ACS	59	Assessments	No. per capita 65+	0.13	0.13	Yes
ACS	60	Survey: % of users carers who said matters of race, culture or religion were noted	% that did	37.0%	43.00%	No
ACS	A3	Domestic violence refuge places	No. per 10,000	0.55	0.44	No
ACS	C1	Adults under 65 whom the authority helps to live at home - sum of L2a to d.	No./1,000 adults under 65	9.97	9.93	Yes
ACS	C2	Nights of respite care provided or funded by the authority	No./1,000 adults	60.84	96.405	No
ACS	C3a	Adults 65 and over whom the local authority supports in residential care	No./1,000 adults 65 and over	20.00		Not appropriate to compare with top or bottom 25%

Nb- Final comparative data is awaited from the audit commission. This data will then be included with performance information in Section B of Plan.

Temporary appendix comparing HCC performance in 2000-01 with Shire Counties

Category	2000-01 PI No.	Description	Contents/forma t	2000-01 Hertfordshire	'top' 25% performing Shire Authorities	Are we in the top 25% performing Shire Authorities?
ACS	<b>C3b</b>	Adults under 65 whom the local authority supports in residential care - sum of L5b to e	No./1,000 adults under 65	1.59		Not appropriate to compare with top or bottom 25%
CID	<b>114</b>	Strategy	Yes/No	no		No comparative data available
CID	<b>115</b>	Cost library visit	£/visit	£3.39	£2.23	No
CID	<b>116</b>	Spend -culture and leisure	£/capita	18.60	£17.73	Yes
CID	<b>117</b>	Visits to libraries	Number per capita	5.90	6.39	No
CID	<b>118</b>	User satisfaction libraries: found books	% that found them - all	60.3%	61.00%	No
CID		found information	% that found it - all	76.3%	79.18%	No
CID		Libraries	% very/fairly satisfied all	70.0%	77.00%	No
CID			% very/fairly satisfied users	89.0%	88.25%	Yes
CID			% very/fairly satisfied non users	37.0%	49.00%	No
CID		BV 3 item on cultural & recreational services	% very/fairly satisfied all	53.0%	60.25%	No

Nb- Final comparative data is awaited from the audit commission. This data will then be included with performance information in Section B of Plan.

Temporary appendix comparing HCC performance in 2000-01 with Shire Counties

Category	2000-01 PI No.	Description	Contents/forma t	2000-01 Hertfordshire	'top' 25% performing Shire Authorities	Are we in the top 25% performing Shire Authorities?
CID			% very/fairly satisfied ethnic minorities	52.0%	66.70%	No
CID			% very/fairly satisfied non-ethnic minorities	53.0%	59.55%	No
CID			% very/fairly satisfied women	57.0%	63.00%	No
CID			% very/fairly satisfied men	50.0%	56.00%	No
CID	<b>H2</b>	Average number of visits per high and medium risk premises	No.	0.18	0.42	No
CID	<b>I6</b>	Books and other items issued by the authority's libraries	No. per capita	8.40	9.30	No
CSF	<b>31</b>	School budget	%	84.7%	84.40%	Yes
CSF	<b>32</b>	Adult education expenditure	£/adult	£1.40	£4.57	No
CSF	<b>33</b>	Youth service expenditure	£/ pop 13 to 19	£71.97	£71.97	Yes
CSF	<b>34a</b>	Primary schools 25% + surplus places	% primary schools	10.1%	9.65%	No
CSF	<b>34b</b>	Secondary schools 25% + surplus places	% secondary schools	15.1%	4.33%	No
CSF	<b>35a</b>	Unfilled places primary schools	%	10.3%	9.55%	No
CSF	<b>35b</b>	Unfilled places secondary schools	%	11.6%	5.03%	No
CSF	<b>36a</b>	Expenditure/pupil under 5	£/ pupil < 5	£3,532.00	£3,086.00	Yes

Nb- Final comparative data is awaited from the audit commission. This data will then be included with performance information in Section B of Plan.

Temporary appendix comparing HCC performance in 2000-01 with Shire Counties

Category	2000-01 PI No.	Description	Contents/forma t	2000-01 Hertfordshire	'top' 25% performing Shire Authorities	Are we in the top 25% performing Shire Authorities?
CSF	<b>36b</b>	Expenditure/primary pupil 5 or over	£/ primary pupil 5+	£2,805.00	£2,489.32	Yes
CSF	<b>36c</b>	Expenditure/secondary pupil under 16	£/ secondary pupil < 16	£3,350.00	£3,085.00	Yes
CSF	<b>36d</b>	Expenditure/secondary pupil 16 or over	£/ secondary pupil 16+	£4,261.00	£4,392.00	No
CSF	<b>30</b>	3 yr. olds in Early Years Development Plan	%	49.0%	60.22%	No
CSF	<b>37</b>	Avg. GCSE point score	Number	41.50	41.88	No
CSF	<b>38</b>	Pupils 5 or more GCSE's, A*-C (K8a)	%	55.4%	55.35%	Yes
CSF	<b>39</b>	Pupils 1+ GCSEs, A*-G	%	95.8%	96.55%	No
CSF	<b>40</b>	Pupils level 4+ KS2 Maths	%	76.2%	75.10%	Yes
CSF	<b>41</b>	Pupils level 4+ KS2 English	%	80.3%	78.05%	Yes
CSF	<b>42</b>	Adult education enrolments	No. per 1,000 adults	12.4	69.63	No
CSF	<b>43a</b>	SENs in 18 weeks without exceptions	%	100.0%	92.14%	Yes
CSF	<b>43b</b>	SENs in 18 weeks with exceptions	%	59.0%	77.06%	No
CSF	<b>44a</b>	Primary school exclusions	No./1,000 primary pupils	0.40	0.13	No
CSF	<b>44b</b>	Secondary school exclusions	No./1,000 secondary pupils	2.18	1.42	No
CSF	<b>44c</b>	Special school exclusions	No./1,000 spec.school pupils	3.63	1.80	No
CSF	<b>45</b>	Unauthorised absences secondary schools	%	0.60%	0.55%	No

Nb- Final comparative data is awaited from the audit commission. This data will then be included with performance information in Section B of Plan.

Temporary appendix comparing HCC performance in 2000-01 with Shire Counties

Category	2000-01 PI No.	Description	Contents/forma t	2000-01 Hertfordshire	'top' 25% performing Shire Authorities	Are we in the top 25% performing Shire Authorities?
CSF	<b>46</b>	Unauthorised absences primary schools	%	0.28%	0.20%	No
CSF	<b>47</b>	Schools w serious weakness	%	2.53%	1.70%	No
CSF	<b>48</b>	Schools subject to special measures	%	0.90%	0.73%	No
CSF	<b>49</b>	Children with 3+ placements	%	8.0%	10.65%	Yes
CSF	<b>50</b>	Children leaving care with GCSE's, 1 or more A*-G	%	39.6%	50.68%	No
CSF	<b>51</b>	Cost children looked after	£/weeks in foster & residential	£533.00	£469.81	No
CSF	<b>61</b>	Family spend as a % of childrens spend	%	36.5%	26.75%	Yes
CSF	<b>B1 I</b>	Permanently excluded pupils <b>attending:</b> Less than 10 hours a week of alternative tuition	%	77%	34.00%	No
CSF	<b>B1 ii</b>	Between 10 and up to 25 hours a week of alternative tuition - if exactly 25 hours count in B1iii (changed K2bii was 10 - 20 hours)	%	22%	44.07%	No
CSF	<b>B1 iii</b>	25 or more hours a week of alternative tuition- (changed K2biii was more than 20 hours)	%	0%	8.69%	No
CSF	<b>B2 (i)</b>	Reception to year 2 (inclusive) classes with more than 30 pupils	%	2.1%	1.30%	No
CSF	<b>B2 (ii)</b>	Years 3 to 6 (inclusive) classes with more than 30 pupils	%	27.0%	24.28%	No
CSF	<b>B2</b>		Yes/No	YES		No/a
CSF	<b>B3</b>	Children with statements of special educational need	%	1.8%	1.90%	Yes
CSF	<b>B4</b>	SEN statements issued during the year - changed to per 1,000 pop 0-19	No. per 1,000 pop 0-19	1.6		Not appropriate to compare with top or bottom 25%

Nb- Final comparative data is awaited from the audit commission. This data will then be included with performance information in Section B of Plan.

Temporary appendix comparing HCC performance in 2000-01 with Shire Counties

Category	2000-01 PI No.	Description	Contents/forma t	2000-01 Hertfordshire	'top' 25% performing Shire Authorities	Are we in the top 25% performing Shire Authorities?
CSF	<b>B6</b>	Rate of absences in secondary schools that are unauthorised	% of absences	8.2%	7.19%	No
CSF	<b>C4a</b>	Children being looked after by the local authority	No./1,000 children	3.30	3.04	No
CSF	<b>C4bi</b>	These children who are in : residential accommodation	%	13.9%	9.90%	No
CSF	<b>C4bii</b>	foster care	%	78.2%	77.30%	Yes
CSF	<b>C4c</b>	These children eleven and over who were permanently excluded from school in the year	%	1.4%	0.60%	No
CSF	<b>C5a</b>	Children on the child protection register	No./per 1,000 children	2.0		Not appropriate to compare with top or bottom 25%
CSF	<b>C5b</b>	Children on the register whose cases should have been reviewed that were reviewed	%	95.3%	93.38%	Yes
CSF	<b>C5c</b>	Children on the register visited at least once every six weeks by their social worker	%	86.9%	90.81%	No
ENV	<b>1</b>	LA 21	Yes/No	yes		No comparative data available
ENV	<b>82a</b>	Recycling	%	12.3%	10.84%	Yes
ENV			tonnage	63,886.00	32058.00	Yes
ENV	<b>82b</b>	Composting	%	4.0%	5.60%	No
ENV			tonnage	20,727.00	23572.00	No
ENV	<b>82c</b>	Recovery heat & power	%	6.1%	0.00%	Yes
ENV			tonnage	31,449.00	0.00	Yes

Nb- Final comparative data is awaited from the audit commission. This data will then be included with performance information in Section B of Plan.

Temporary appendix comparing HCC performance in 2000-01 with Shire Counties

Category	2000-01 PI No.	Description	Contents/forma t	2000-01 Hertfordshire	'top' 25% performing Shire Authorities	Are we in the top 25% performing Shire Authorities?
ENV	<b>82d</b>	Landfill	%	76.6%	80.05%	Yes
ENV			tonnage	398,661.00	223738.00	No
ENV	<b>84</b>	Household waste collected	Kgs per capita	495.0	502.28	Yes
ENV	<b>87</b>	Cost waste disposal	£ per tonne municipal waste	£27.97	£27.24	No
ENV	<b>89</b>	Satisfaction survey - cleanliness of streets/relevant land	% very/fairly satisfied	62.0%	69.93%	No
ENV	<b>90c</b>	Satisfaction survey - civic amenity sites	% very/fairly satisfied	70.0%	77.25%	No
ENV	<b>93</b>	Cost highway maintenance	£/100 vehicle kms	£0.71	£0.24	No
ENV	<b>94</b>	Expenditure on bus services	£/passenger journey	£0.45	£1.42	No
ENV	<b>95</b>	Expenditure/ on streetlight	£/streetlight	£50.04	£57.77	No
ENV	<b>96</b>	Condition: principal roads	%	4.7%	6.49%	Yes
ENV	<b>97</b>	Condition non-principal roads	%	4.9%	3.23%	No
ENV	<b>98</b>	Streetlights not working	%	0.6%	0.70%	Yes
ENV	<b>99a</b>	Road accidents - pedestrians (99a-e are per 100,000 pop)	No. killed/serious injury	14.19	9.00	No
ENV			No. slight injury	40.27	32.60	No
ENV	<b>99b</b>	Pedal cyclists	No. killed/serious injury	7.77	4.19	No
ENV			No. slight injury	30.20	26.00	No

Nb- Final comparative data is awaited from the audit commission. This data will then be included with performance information in Section B of Plan.

Temporary appendix comparing HCC performance in 2000-01 with Shire Counties

Category	2000-01 PI No.	Description	Contents/forma t	2000-01 Hertfordshire	'top' 25% performing Shire Authorities	Are we in the top 25% performing Shire Authorities?
ENV	<b>99c</b>	Two wheeled motor vehicles	No. killed/serious injury	14.29	13.40	No
ENV			No. slight injury	29.43	25.30	No
ENV	<b>99d</b>	Car users	No. killed/serious injury	48.99	35.30	No
ENV			No. slight injury	421.56	293.10	No
ENV	<b>99e</b>	Other vehicle users	No. killed/serious injury	5.66	3.00	No
ENV			No. slight injury	30.20	30.00	No
ENV	<b>100</b>	Days traffic controls in place	Days/km traffic sensitive road	1.10	0.33	No
ENV	<b>101</b>	Bus km (not scaled)	Number in full	30,249,200	23000000	Yes
ENV	<b>102</b>	Number journeys (not scaled)	Number in full	33,900,000	24579000	Yes
ENV	<b>103</b>	Public satisfaction - public transport information	% very/fairly satisfied - all	40.00%	48.75%	No
ENV			% very/fairly satisfied - received/seen info	60.00%	69.08%	No
ENV			% very/fairly satisfied - not received/seen info	22.00%	33.12%	No
ENV	<b>104</b>	Satisfaction bus service	% very/fairly satisfied - all	38.00%	51.00%	No

Nb- Final comparative data is awaited from the audit commission. This data will then be included with performance information in Section B of Plan.

Temporary appendix comparing HCC performance in 2000-01 with Shire Counties

Category	2000-01 PI No.	Description	Contents/forma t	2000-01 Hertfordshire	'top' 25% performing Shire Authorities	Are we in the top 25% performing Shire Authorities?
ENV			% very/fairly satisfied - users within the last year	45.00%	59.00%	No
ENV			% very/fairly - non-users	22.00%	30.00%	No
ENV	<b>105</b>	Repairs of dangerous damage roads + pavements	%	99.2%	98.15%	Yes
ENV	<b>107</b>	Planning cost	£/capita	£0.54	£1.53	Yes
ENV	<b>108</b>	Departures as % of permissions	%	50.0%	0.00%	No
ENV	<b>109</b>	Planning apps in 8 week	%	26.0%	26.00%	Yes
ENV	<b>110</b>	Avg time - planning applications	No. of weeks	28.00	20.00	No
ENV	<b>111</b>	Satisfaction survey - processing planning applications (1)	% very/fairly satisfied - all	100.0%	92.37%	Yes
ENV	<b>112</b>	Checklist Score (1- 10)	%	75.0%	65.75%	Yes
ENV		Parks/open spaces	% very/fairly satisfied all	60.0%	70.50%	No
ENV			% very/fairly satisfied users	80.0%	82.00%	No
ENV			% very/fairly satisfied non users	48.0%	49.00%	No
ENV	<b>E3</b>	Public conveniences provided by the authority normally throughout the year	Number	1	7.50	No

Nb- Final comparative data is awaited from the audit commission. This data will then be included with performance information in Section B of Plan.

Temporary appendix comparing HCC performance in 2000-01 with Shire Counties

Category	2000-01 PI No.	Description	Contents/forma t	2000-01 Hertfordshire	'top' 25% performing Shire Authorities	Are we in the top 25% performing Shire Authorities?
ENV	<b>F1</b>	Pedestrian crossings w/facilities for the disabled	%	66.0%	90.43%	No
ENV	<b>F2a</b>	Footpaths and other rights of way: signposted where they leave a road	%	70.0%	81.00%	No
ENV	<b>F2b</b>	easy to use by members of the public	%	82.0%	82.55%	No
Fin	<b>8</b>	Invoices paid on time	%	87.0%	89.14%	No
Fin	<b>A4</b>	Total net spending	£/capita	£748.66	£747.61	Yes
PER	<b>2</b>	CRE level	Number (1-5) or 0	2	2.00	Yes
PER	<b>11</b>	Senior women	%	25.2%	28.80%	No
PER	<b>12</b>	Days sick per member of staff	Days/full time equiv. employee	7.40	8.15	Yes
PER		Counties w/fire service -figure for uniformed staff	Days/full time equiv. employee	7.81	8.20	Yes
PER	<b>13</b>	Voluntary leavers / staff	%	15.8%	9.20%	No
PER	<b>14</b>	Early retirements / staff	%	0.5%	0.20%	No
PER	<b>15</b>	Ill health retirements / staff	%	0.2%	0.23%	No
PER		Counties w/fire service -figure for uniformed staff	%	0.9%	0.90%	Yes
PER	<b>16</b>	Staff with disabilities	%	1.5%	2.08%	No
PER	<b>17</b>	Staff from ethnic minorities	%	6.0%	2.00%	Yes
PER		Counties w/fire service -figure for uniformed staff	%	1.5%	1.50%	Yes
Pol	<b>126a</b>	Burglaries	No. per 1,000 households	9.7	8.80	No

Nb- Final comparative data is awaited from the audit commission. This data will then be included with performance information in Section B of Plan.

Temporary appendix comparing HCC performance in 2000-01 with Shire Counties

Category	2000-01 PI No.	Description	Contents/forma t	2000-01 Hertfordshire	'top' 25% performing Shire Authorities	Are we in the top 25% performing Shire Authorities?
Pol	<b>127a</b>	Violent crimes (not just robberies)	No. per 1,000 pop	5.1	7.23	Yes
Pol	<b>128a</b>	Vehicle crimes	No. per 1,000 pop	14.2	10.03	No
Public	<b>3</b>	Satisfaction with the local authority	% very/fairly satisfied	62.0%	65.75%	No
Public	<b>4</b>	Satisfied with complaint handling	% very/fairly satisfied	45.0%	40.60%	Yes
Public	<b>5a</b>	Ombudsman - maladministration cases	Number	2	0.00	No
Public	<b>5b</b>	Ombudsman - local settlement cases	Number	6	2.00	No
Public	<b>6</b>	Election turnout - last election if not in 2000/01	%	75.6%	73.43%	Yes
Public	<b>A1a</b>	The authority's buildings open to the public	Number	78		Not appropriate to compare with top or bottom 25%
Public	<b>A1b</b>	The number of such buildings in which all public areas are suitable for and accessible to disabled persons.	Number	15		Not appropriate to compare (relates to above)
Public	<b>A2a</b>	No. racial incidents recorded by the authority	No. per 100,000 pop	46.60	42.91	Yes
Public	<b>A2b</b>	Racial incidents resulting in further action	%	79.0%	100.00%	No

Nb- Final comparative data is awaited from the audit commission. This data will then be included with performance information in Section B of Plan.