

Authority Hertfordshire	Number 919	Year 2007/08	Primary & Nursery eligible FSM	LA deprivation % 8.0%
Region East of England	LA FSM - Eng Non FSM Gap		Secondary eligible FSM	6.4%
			Other Authority measure (optional)	

Attainment : % pupils achieving L5 at KS3 in 2006	LA FSM	LA Gap	LA Non FSM Gap	LA Non FSM	Region FSM	Gap	Region non FSM	England FSM	Gap	England non FSM
English	58%	25%	19%	82%	52%	26%	78%	50%	27%	77%
Maths	61%	23%	19%	84%	59%	22%	81%	56%	25%	81%
Science	57%	25%	20%	82%	53%	25%	78%	48%	29%	77%

Total DSG 597,715,000	Funded AEN		Deprivation	
	%	Value	%	Value
	7.56%	45,171,322	6.48%	38,712,447

Formula factor	£ total delegated	permissible %	£ allowable	permissible %	£ allowable
1 Social Deprivation based on FSM entitlements	4,232,560	100%	4,232,560	100%	4,232,560
2 Social Deprivation for schools with high FSM %	1,421,823	100%	1,421,823	100%	1,421,823
3 Social Deprivation based on children in public care	500,175	100%	500,175	100%	500,175
4 SEN by free school meal entitlements	5,747,809	100%	5,747,809	100%	5,747,809
5 SEN by prior attainment	5,339,350	100%	5,339,350	75%	4,004,513
6 Personalised Learning KS3 by prior attainment	2,961,890	100%	2,961,890	75%	2,221,418
7 Personalised Learning KS3 by FSM entitlements	2,004,575	100%	2,004,575	100%	2,004,575
8 Personalised Learning Primary by prior attainment	1,889,014	100%	1,889,014	75%	1,416,761
9 Personalised Learning Primary by FSM entitlements	1,309,809	100%	1,309,809	100%	1,309,809
10 Learning Support Units & Nurture Groups	2,017,761	100%	2,017,761	100%	2,017,761
11 Casual Admissions	128,742	100%	128,742	0%	-
12 Funding to provide FSMs based on FSMs taken	4,205,401	100%	4,205,401	100%	4,205,401
13 AWPU (assistant heads)	2,124,800	100%	2,124,800	100%	2,124,800
14 S52 1.2.1 Predictable Needs Funding-FSMs based	1,004,842	100%	1,004,842	100%	1,004,842
15 S52 1.2.1 Predictable Needs Funding-Attainment base	4,689,264	100%	4,689,264	75%	3,516,948
16 S52 1.4.2 FSMs eligibility	87,288	100%	87,288	100%	87,288
17 S52 1.1.3 14 to 16 Practical Learning-Attainment & De	853,110	100%	853,110	75%	639,833
18 Primary Support Bases-Lump Sums re outreach	772,100	100%	772,100	0%	-
19 Free School Milk & Funding for 2 Year Olds	670,869	100%	670,869	100%	670,869
TOTAL			41,961,183		37,127,183

% of funding allocated for deprived pupils directed towards deprived pupils

93%

96%

When completing the boxes below, ALT RETURN will start a new paragraph within the box.

Authority Hertfordshire

What factors/values changed between the position in 2002 and the statement in 2005?

Formula Changes
 1) Due to the discontinuation of nursery and reception baseline assessments, this data was replaced in the formula for nursery and primary SEN funding, by free school meals and foundation stage profile data respectively.
 2) A formula factor was introduced to fund the new Learning Support Units (in secondary schools) and Nurture Groups (in primary schools).
 Funding Levels
 In addition to the usual annual adjustments for cost pressures and for changes in pupil numbers, there was £1m of growth in social deprivation funding in 2006-07.

Have any of the factors changed since the statement in 2005?

The deprivation statement described the authority's formula as at 2006-07. There have been three formula changes in 2007-08:
 1) CAT test scores are no longer used in determining the share of SEN funding for secondary schools which is based on attainment. SATs scores are now used to determine all attainment related funding. The change is phased over 5 years as the historic CATs data for each annual cohort is removed from the rolling profile.
 2) The additional deprivation funding for schools with high levels of FSMs has been modified. The double allocation for schools with more than twice the sector average FSM%, which created a "cliff edge" in funding, has been replaced by an additional allocation per FSM entitlement above 14% (in primary) or 12% (in secondary).
 3) Earmarked Pupil Funding (EPF), which was allocated to named pupils, has been discontinued and replaced by a formulaic allocation based on FSM entitlements. (This is part of the introduction of formulaic allocations to fund EPF and lower level statements.)

Do you have any proposals to make any changes arising from the review with your Schools Forum?

At its meeting on 19/9/07 we will be asking the Schools Forum to consider:
 a) this funding template and whether any increase in deprivation funding is appropriate in view of the figures above,
 b) the relative merits of the various different deprivation indicators and whether or not a gradual move away from free school meals would be beneficial in raising attainment.

Any Comments about the attainment gap?

Standards across Hertfordshire are high. However, this general picture masks a gap in achievement between the county average and the levels attained by groups of potentially vulnerable children including LAC, children with SEN and children from particular BME groups. However, in Hertfordshire the biggest under achieving group is white working class boys. The authority believes that the factors leading to this underachievement are varied, many and complex. However, two key issues seem to be having a particularly significant impact here in Hertfordshire:
 the extent to which the curriculum reflects the needs and interests of the children, particularly at key stage 4, and

Any Other Comments

We are surprised that so little central expenditure is allowed to be attributed to AEN/deprivation in this exercise, e.g. S52 line 1.2.2 covers support services for both statemented and non statemented pupils and yet is considered to be related to "high cost" pupils.
 In addition to special schools and PRUs, we have counted funding for special units in mainstream schools and funding for higher level statements (where the allocation is made for a named pupil) as high cost funding and therefore excluded.

To be discussed with CSA Name: Graham Last Date completed: 31/08/2007
 From GO: (area) East Date of discussion (if known)